

Commodities Outlook Supply momentum, supply curtailments and supply shocks July 2014



Grant Sporre Commodity Strategist (+44) 20 754-58170

grant.sporre@db.com

Passion to Perform

Michael Hsueh

Commodity Strategist (+44) 20 754-78015 michael.hsueh@db.com

Deutsche Bank does and seeks to do business with companies covered in its research reports. Thus, investors should be aware that the firm may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of this report. Investors should consider this report as only a single factor in making their investment decision. DISCLOSURES AND ANALYST CERTIFICATIONS ARE LOCATED IN APPENDIX 1 MCI (P) 148/04/2014.

2014 Winners & Losers



2014 Commodity Scorecard



Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP (data as of June 30, 2014)

- Commodity prices have become increasingly driven by supply developments in 2014.
- Indeed the price gains across the agriculture and livestock sectors have been triggered by crop disappointment in South America (coffee, soybeans) and the porcine virus in the US (lean hogs).
- Meanwhile the gains in nickel are largely attributable to the Indonesian export ban while strikes in South Africa have supported the PGM complex.

Correlation Subsidence





Cross Asset Correlation



Cross Commodity Sector Correlation

Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

Outlook

- The correlation of commodities to other asset classes has collapsed to pre-crisis levels.
- Moreover the correlation between commodity sectors has also been on the decline.
- These suggest that commodity sector returns are increasingly being driven by sector specific fundamentals.
- It also restores the diversification properties of commodities as an asset class.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Commodities Dashboard



Metals Outlook For H2'14



Key Themes

- Gold to suffer as the interest rate cycle turns, pushing US real yields and the US dollar higher. PGMs to outperform on a slower than expected strike recovery and a strong Auto sector. High inventories will cap prices.
- The demand outlook is improving: A strong lagging correlation (1 3 months) in base metals with the demand weighted PMI's. The outlook is still being determined by individual supply side dynamics supply shocks, supply curtailments and supply momentum.
- Bulk commodity prices to weaken on supply growth and slowing demand growth. Tentative cost support in the coals, but there is scope for further cost-out.
- Cautious energy on US oil supply growth, a declining call on OPEC spare capacity, but offset by rising geopolitical risk.



Energy

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (<u>grant.sporre@db.com</u>) Michael Hsueh (<u>michael.hsueh@db.com</u>)

Oil Market Stability





Commodity Implied Volatility



Sources: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank Two-year historical range; body represents 25th to 75th percentiles, whiskers represent 5th and 95th percentiles.

- Crude oil prices have traded in a relatively tight range for the past three years.
- This stability has occurred at a time of rapid US crude oil supply growth, significant production outages across OPEC and non-OPEC countries and in the midst of pockets of heightened geopolitical risk such as sanctions against Iran, political instability in Ukraine and the latest escalation in violence across Iraq.

Oil Market Stress In The Arb



WTI-Brent Spread



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP (data as of June 30, 2014)

Outlook

- However, there has been considerable volatility in the price of regional crudes and specifically between WTI and Brent.
- An oversupplied US crude oil market has meant WTI has moved to a significant discount versus Brent.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

The Threat To Iraqi Oil Infrastructure





Outlook

- ISIS has taken control of the northern and eastern parts of Iraq. However, around 75% of Iraqi oil production occurs in the south and approximately 85% of the country's oil reserves are also in the south.
- Iraqi oil production is currently around
 3.3mmb/d and is forecast to rise to 4mmbd by the end of the year and 5mmbd before the end of the decade.
- The main threat has been to the country's refining capacity given the capture by ISIS of the Baiji oil refinery near Tikrit.

Sources: Wikimedia Commons, Deutsche Bank

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

The Role Of Iraq





Outlook

Iraq represents one of the major hopes in terms of oil supply growth over the next five years.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (grant.sporre@db.com) Michael Hsueh (michael.hsueh@db.com)

Iraq capacity expansion projects



Source: IEA, Wood Mackenzie, Deutsche Bank

- The more difficult factor to assess in Iraq is how much current events will retard the development of projects intended to expand capacity in the long term.
- Prior to events in June, the Iraqi government had already been in the process of renegotiating production targets. Now, even these lower revised 2020 targets are at risk. The sum of the revised targets implies 2020 total production of 9.8-10.2 mmb/d, whereas forecasts from the IEA and Wood Mackenzie suggest that total production may be only half that, in the range of 4.6-5.2 mmb/d by 2020.

Tipping Point For Consumers





Oil As A Share Of Global GDP

The S&P500 To Oil Price Ratio

- One way to assess oil market fundamentals and its impact on the economy is to examine the SP500 to oil price ratio. If rising oil prices are obstructing economic growth then the S&P500 to oil price ratio should be falling.
- Another way to assess at what level oil prices would need to rise to trigger a slump in the global economy is to examine the share of oil relative to world GDP.

Oil Supply Disruptions Compared



Iraqi Oil Production In Context



- At 3.4mmb/d, Iraq's oil production is significant relative to Saudi spare capacity of 2.7 mmb/d.
- In the last four major oil price disruptions events, we find that oil prices rose by on average 38% in response to an average supply loss of 4.1mmb/d.

Developments In The WTI Forward Curve



NYMEX WTI forward curves 60000 100 99 98 50000 97 February 2013 96 95 40000 94 Price (USD/bbl) 93 92 30000 91 90 89 20000 88 May 2014 87 86 10000 85 84 83 n 82 2006 2007 2008 2009 2.5 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 20.0 22.5 25.0 27.5 30.0 32.5 35.0 37.5 0.0 5.0 Date ←Close_Price (05-May-2014) ←Close_Price (13-Feb-2013)

US Cushing, Oklahoma Crude Oil Inventory



Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP (data as of May 5, 2014)

Outlook

WTI Froward Curve

- Historically an oversupplied US crude oil market meant the WTI forward curve was in contango.
- However, pipeline expansions have helped to clear the excessive level of crude oil inventories in Cushing, Oklahoma and this has helped to tighten WTI fundamentals and push the forward curve into backwardation.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

US Gulf Coast Crude Oil Inventories





- However, on a statewide basis, total US crude oil inventories excluding the SPR rose to a record high of 389 million barrels at the end of May with the US Gulf Coast responsible for a large share of the increase.
- Rapid US crude oil supply growth, the opening of the TransCanada Marketlink Pipeline from Cushing, OK to Houston, TX as well as seasonal maintenance in US refineries have contributed to US Gulf Coast inventories hitting a record high this year.

Tipping Point For Producers



Budget Breakeven Brent Oil Prices	Budget I	Budget Breakeven Brent Oil Prices (USD)								
USD bbl 200 J		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013e	2014f	
180 - Brent price	GCC	43.2	44.0	70.4	68.4	78.8	73.2	79.1	81.7	
160 - Venezuela	Bahrain	66.9	80.0	82.9	103.9	118.1	127.1	125.3	134.9	
140 -	Kuwait	32.6	42.1	47.0	45.7	47.4	53.6	68.3	71.9	
120 - Nigeria	Oman	99.3	96.4	69.9	80.2	112.3	112.5	80.4	75.7	
	Qatar	41.8	49.1	27.2	61.7	80.1	62.8	59.6	71.0	
Russia	S. Arabia	52.7	47.0	72.6	70.6	84.5	80.9	91.4	93.4	
GCC	UAE	25.1	44.5	105.8	86.4	95.0	77.7	72.2	70.4	
	Nigeria	75.1	79.9	125.3	105.3	128.5	112.3	143.6	118.8	
	Russia	28.1	59.7	109.5	116.7	102.8	112.0	113.9	101.7	
20 -	Venezuela	76.9	134.2	140.7	194.4	145.7	153.1	151.3	121.0	
0 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013e 20	14f Brent price	72.7	97.7	61.9	79.6	111.0	111.7	108.9	106.5	

Sources: Haver Analytics, JODI, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

Sources: Haver Analytics, JODI, Deutsche Bank

- Generous social programmes have meant Middle Eastern government require much higher oil prices to balance their fiscal outlays.
- In Saudi Arabia, we estimate that the budget breakeven price have risen again in 2014 to a new record high.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (grant.sporre@db.com) Michael Hsueh (michael.hsueh@db.com)

Valuing Commodities In Real Terms



Commodities: What's Expensive & What's Cheap



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

Outlook

Crude oil is the most richly priced commodity in the world, trading at more than 100% above its long run historical average in real terms.

Aggressive Crude Oil Length



Speculative Positioning



Sources: CFTC, Deutsche Bank Two-year historical range; body represents 25th to 75th percentiles, whiskers represent 5th and 95th percentiles.

Outlook

According to CFTC data, speculative positioning is mixed with aggressive length in WTI.

Oil, Equities & The Dollar





Equity Divergence since Jan-2013

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Dollar Risk for Crude Oil



Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- Crude oil prices have decoupled from the US equity market. No longer does a 50 point move in the S&P translate into a \$4/bbl rise in Brent crude. In contrast to the period of financial dislocation associated with the US financial crisis and Euro debt crisis, commodities in general are now showing lower correlations with financial assets and consequently, a greater influence of market-specific fundamentals.
- The exception to this is the US dollar. Our 12-month currency targets imply a 11% appreciation in the Dollar Index (DXY) over the next 12 months, and we believe this holds risks for the crude oil price.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Oil, US Treasuries & Implied Volatility





Inconsistent relationship with Bonds

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Inverse relationship with Volatility



Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

- Crude oil prices have had an inconsistent relationship with bond prices, with the clearest link occurring during times of sharply rising or falling risk perceptions, and increasing or decreasing investor allocations to commodities. This negative relationship held, for example over the 2008-2010 period.
- The relationship of crude oil prices to volatility is somewhat more well-behaved, although high implied vol can be associated with sharp moves both to the downside (2008 financial crisis) as well as the upside (1990 invasion of Kuwait), with the current period of low volatility being associated with relatively stable crude oil prices.

Oil Quarterly Correlations



Correlation with Financial Assets



Quarterly correlation of daily returns

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

- Historically there have been long periods when oil has shown very low correlations with financial assets.
- In the past decade the strongest relationships have been with the S&P500 and the US Dollar. However, the decoupling of oil and the S&P500 since 2013 suggests that this relationship may be weaker going forward. Impending US Dollar strength in the next several years will test the Oil/USD relationship.

EM oil demand growth dominates





Global oil demand growth by key

Oil intensity of GDP growth (3y moving avg)



Source: IEA, Deutsche Bank

- US oil demand will eke out minimal increases while European demand continues to contract. Positive GDP growth in Europe has increasingly been associated with low or negative oil demand growth as fuel efficiency gains dominate.
- EM Asia will contribute the greatest share of global oil demand growth this year, while the Middle East and Latin America will also be meaningful contributors. China will be the largest contributor to global oil demand on a growth basis this year, equal to 34% of the total. China's oil intensity of GDP growth averaged 0.76 over 2009-2011 but is on the decline. According to Asia equity research, oil intensity excluding outliers is now at 0.52 over the 2008-2013 period.

Source: IEA, Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

China oil demand growth





Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

- China's oil demand growth rate going forward is unlikely to be on par with the past decade, reflecting more efficient consumption patterns as well as lower GDP growth.
- Lower GDP growth rates over 2012-2014 have also been associated with a narrower range of associated oil demand growth rates, suggesting that demand surprises are less likely going forward. Government's shift of emphasis from export-oriented industry and fixed asset investment to domestic consumer-driven consumption supports this hypothesis.

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

2014 US supply growth estimates leveling out





IEA and EIA 2014 forecasts

Source: US EIA, IEA, Deutsche Bank

North Dakota production and infrastructure



Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

- IEA forecasts of 2014 US supply growth have leveled out while EIA now shows growth of 1.2 mbd yoy. Based on total production of crude and NGLs, EIA forecasts appears closer to the actual rate of 1.29 mbd yoy.
- Evacuation capacity of infrastructure in North Dakota could expand significantly through 2016 if long-distance pipelines are approved (Enbridge Sandpiper and TransCanada Keystone XL), included in chart above. This would raise headroom for further expansion of Bakken production.

US supply growth owed to tight oil





Source: US EIA, IEA, Deutsche Bank

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- Tight oil will continue to dominate US oil supply growth, with NGLs providing a supporting role.
- Amongst tight oil plays, the Eagle Ford has been the top contributor to oil supply growth in the past 4 years. In the next 6 years to 2020, the Eagle Ford, Bakken/Three Forks, and Permian Basins will equally contribute roughly 650kbd cumulatively.
- Increased reliance on tight oil may strengthen price support around \$80/bbl as US drilling contracts tend to run on shorter timeframes (6 months to 1 year). Roughly 40% of US tight oil production has an incentive cost above \$80/bbl.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

US demand drivers weakened since 2007







Fleet average fuel efficiency by model year and CAFE standards (mpg)



Source: US DOT, FHA, Deutsche Bank

Source: US EPA, Office of Transportation & Air Quality, Deutsche Bank

- Transportation is the dominant mode of consumption for U.S. oil products. Finished motor gasoline makes up 46% of total U.S. product supplied. With the addition of distillate fuel oil this rises to 66% of total product supplied.
- Total vehicle miles travelled (VMT) has not risen above its monthly peak of 3.039 trillion miles in Nov 2007. January 2014 VMT was roughly equal to the January 2011 VMT figure.
- A further important driver is the fact that fleet average fuel efficiency for the model 2015 year has increased by 14% over the model 2010 year.

Stagnation in US demand growth





2005-2013 growth in US product demand



- Consequently, U.S. monthly product supplied has not exceeded the high reached in December 2005. The Dec 2013 average, despite being a colder-weather month than Dec 2005 (696 HDDs versus 682), measured 7.7% lower in total product supplied compared to Dec 2005.
- Motor gasoline demand has been resilient as it has fallen by less than the overall oil product slate, while propane/propylene demand has actually increased.

Source: US EIA, IEA, Deutsche Bank

Source: US EIA, Deutsche Bank

OPEC Supply and Spare Capacity





Source: US EIA, IEA, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- Despite political instability in Irag and a slow progress in restoring Libyan production, medium-term prospects are in fact positive for OPEC spare capacity. Excluding risks to future development of Iragi and Libyan oil production capacity, we would have expected OPEC spare capacity to build significantly from 4.3 mmb/d currently, to 6.3 mmb/d in 2019.
- Alternatively, in a risked scenario we can assume no change to Iragi and Libyan sustainable production capacity. This would still give us overall growth in OPEC spare capacity to 4.8 mmb/d in 2019, and up to 5.7 mmb/d in 2016 as UAE, Nigeria and Angola raise capacity.

OPEC production likely to remain close to



Source: IEA, Deutsche Bank

Libya and Iran Supply



Libya production and capacity (mbd)



Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, IEA, Deutsche Bank

Iran production and capacity (mbd)



Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, IEA, Deutsche Bank

- Signs of a recovery in Libya are in place, but physical constraints will translate into delays. Rebels have agreed to hand over the larger ports of Es Sider and Ras Lanuf, but exports from Hariga and Zueitina have been slow to start. The El Feel field has restarted but damage to oil pumps will delay El Sharara.
- Expectations in March were that Iran would offer to suspend production of medium-enriched uranium and accept limits on low-enriched uranium. Now that appears to be premature, as Iran is expressing a need to significantly expand its uranium enrichment capacity, showing a wide gap between Iran and the six major powers negotiating for an agreement.

Non-OPEC supply growth to exceed Demand growth



World demand and Non-OPEC supply growth (kbd yoy)



Source: IEA, Deutsche Bank

US the largest player in Non-OPEC supply growth



Source: IEA, Deutsche Bank

- We expect total Non-OPEC supply growth to exceed world demand growth through 2016, suggesting that any crude oil price advances will be contained. A resumption of US dollar appreciation in accordance with what we expect to be the new long-term trend should also be a restraining influence on prices.
- Clearly the US is the strongest driver for increasing Non-OPEC supply. A moderation in US supply growth over the latter part of the decade means that the trend in increasing OPEC spare capacity is likely to reverse in the post-2016 timeframe, during which world demand growth will again outpace Non-OPEC supply growth.

US Natural Gas



End Of Season Storage Levels Are At Critically Low Levels



Sources: Deutsche Bank

- US natural gas storage levels are at critically low levels. Indeed they are at their lowest levels since 2000 in percentage terms and the lowest level since 2005 in absolute terms. In both these years natural gas prices spiked by more than 100%.
- We expect risks will be primarily weather dependent but any excessive summer warmth could deliver significant upside price spike risk.

Summer Gas S/D Assumptions



2.3 bcf/d additional gas available for injection



Dry gas production growth (bcf/d)



Source: US EIA, Deutsche Bank

Source: Bentek Energy, Deutsche Bank

- Stronger-than-expected natural gas supply growth this summer has been absorbed by rising industrial demand which reflects a multi-year project pipeline of investments. We upgrade our forecasts for industrial demand both this summer and through 2017.
- Dry gas production increases are indeed on the rise, registering +3.3 bcf/d yoy in June month-to-date, up from 2.9 bcf/d in May, Figure 4. This most likely contributed to injections exceeding consensus by 7 bcf in the week ending 20 June, and August natural gas prices consequently falling towards \$4.40/mmBtu.

US Gas Injection Season



100% 90% 80% 70% 3,368 bcf 60% (7 Nov) 50% 40% 10Y Range (%) 30% 10Y Avg (%) 20% 2014 10% Forecast 0% Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec

Source: US EIA, Deutsche Bank

Injection season forecast

Storage sufficiency in days of consumption



Source: US EIA, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- We mark down the November storage forecast by 100 bcf owing to slow injection progress in Q2, and raise our expectation of Q1-15 pricing by \$0.10/mmBtu to \$5.00/mmBtu. As the injection season is now almost halfway in volume terms of the typical injection profile, certainty is now higher regarding the likely pre-winter storage level and the potential for a tight winter.
- What should be considered a normal level of storage in percentage of working gas capacity? We would argue that 85% is the relevant standard, since total working gas capacity in 2014 is low relative to estimated consumption (65.7 days).

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Q2 Is An Important Gas Injection Quarter



Jul/Aug Injections Are Weak



Source: US EIA, Deutsche Bank

NOAA Jul-Aug-Sep seasonal forecast



Source: NOAA National Weather Service

- 47% of storage injections typically occur in Q2 because of two factors: (i) Utility demand is picking up from winter, but is still below the peak months of July and August. (ii) Depleted fields can inject at the fastest rates when they are empty. Depleted field storage represents the vast majority (81%) of US working gas capacity.
- NOAA seasonal weather forecasts indicate predominantly warm risks in the peak summer months, which means that injections will likely be suppressed as normal. Note that 2013 summer was also warmer than normal, meaning that yearon-year utility demand may be similar.

Gas production metrics signal slower growth





Source: PA DEP, Deutsche Bank E&P Equity Research

Source: Deutsche Bank E&P Equity Research

- We assume dry gas production growth will average 3.2 bcf/d yoy over the course of Q2 and Q3. Well performance improvements appear to be levelling out as Reduced Cluster Spaced (RCS) completions became standard practice in 2H-13. Average initial production (IP) rates are growing at a slower rate.
- This in combination with the fact that national gas-directed rig counts remain near all time lows, suggests that upside production surprises may be harder to come by this year.

How much has gas injection disappointed?





Required versus actual weekly storage WD/INJ

US gas storage injection profile



Source: Deutsche Bank E&P Equity Research

Outlook

Source: PA DEP, Deutsche Bank E&P Equity Research

- Injections have fallen short of the profile to reach 74% fullness in 9 out of the 12 weeks so far in this injection season.
- Achieving an end of season volume of 3,373 bcf would equate to 72% fullness on 7 November, reflecting the shortfalls already realised so far.
- Injection rates are unlikely to rise sufficiently to make a large difference to the November figure now. Whereas at the start of the summer, we estimated that dry gas production growth would have to measure +5.2 bcf/d yoy in order for storage to reach 85% full, we now estimate that production would have to grow by 7.9 bcf/d yoy to reach the same goal.

Low gas storage associated with low forward spreads



US gas storage level and storage spread



Source: Earthsat, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- Plotting the historical end-of-March storage levels against forward storage spreads (Nov-Mar over Apr-Oct), we find that forward spreads are typically very low in years when storage finishes the winter at a low level.
- This paradoxical result is probably best explained by the fact that a shortage of gas in the prompt market causes front-end backwardation, offsetting the seasonal upward slope in next-winter prices. This can be interpreted as an expression of confidence in high storage fill over the summer, or confidence in the ability of supply growth to meet demand over the winter through production rather than withdrawals.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (grant.sporre@db.com) Michael Hsueh (michael.hsueh@db.com)
US gas out-turn storage spreads



Out-turn storage spread (\$/mmBtu)



Source: Earthsat, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- In both of the comparable years of 2001 and 2003, very low end-of-winter stocks were associated with very low forward storage spreads.
- In both of these years, storage spreads widened significantly on out-turn. We measure this by taking the forward Nov-Mar price on each given day, less Henry Hub spot. We believe \$0.40/mmBtu is a good estimate of the minimum incentive required to pay for operational costs.
- This year, the out-turn spread remains exceptionally low, expressing unprecedented confidence in supply.

Gas injection surprises met with large selloffs

/

Injection surprises and Q1-15 selloffs



Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

Small upside surprises in the weekly injection numbers in late April and May have been met with outsized selloffs in the Q1-15 contract. Larger negative withdrawal surprises in early April were met with similar-sized moves higher in Q1-15 contract.

Investor positioning in US natural gas





CFTC Positioning in US Natural Gas

Source: US EIA. Deutsche Bank

Outlook

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

- In the past several weeks, long exposure has been scaled back and short exposure increased, driving the net positioning level to -126k. The 5-year history of CFTC reports shows that positioning has ranged from -34k to -223k contracts.
- Despite the recent sell off in Henry Hub, the options market continues to price call options at a premium to put options, suggesting that investor sentiment still favours exposure to and/or protection from outsized upside moves.

Approved US LNG Exports Rise To 9.3 bcf/d





Source: US EIA, Deutsche Bank

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- DOE has approved the 7th project which is the first greenfield project approved. An additional 2 project approvals would bring the total up to the 12 bcf/d threshold (High Level) specified in the NERA Economic Consulting report. We expect 1.5 bcf/d in operation in 2017 and as much as 7.5 bcf/d by 2020.
- While economics to Asia are clearly in the money, we believe that diversification of price risk is an equally important consideration for Asian buyers (and potentially better bargaining power in renegotiations).
- Economics delivered to Europe are less positive and therefore limited volumes are likely to be shipped.

Market Timing A Challenge For Further US LNG



High volume of possible supply in 2020 (mtpa)



Source: Wood Mackenzie, Deutsche Bank

- Including Sabine Pass (T1-4), Freeport and Cove Point in the LNG balance, forecast demand would be nearly satisfied in 2020 (short of 2mtpa).
- While this does not account for unplanned outages, it does highlight potential challenges for further US LNG export projects to secure pre-contracted demand in the 2020 timeframe, at which point in time a combined volume of 80mtpa in possible new projects may reach the market. This includes 32mtpa of possible new supply outside of North America in 2020.

Medium term US gas demand picture looks positive



Price forecast and consensus (\$/mmBtu)



Source: US EIA, Deutsche Bank

- We believe the market has now come to terms with the fact that November 2014 storage will be significantly below normal. However, pricing does not reflect the risk that production growth will be insufficient to deal with a cold winter.
- In the longer term, investments in industrial capacity and LNG exports along with increased utility consumption will add significantly to demand through 2019.
- While drilling rig efficiencies have continued to improve, gas-directed rig counts remain near record lows. Therefore the stronger production increases necessary to balance in 2016 and 2017 are uncertain. As a result, if demand growth proceeds according to our model, prices may rise faster than we currently assume.



Metals & Bulk Commodities

Commodity summary



- Base Metals: improving global growth is supportive, but there are clear supply side differentiators.
 - Zinc / Lead: Chinese smelter profitability and mine closures leading to deficits. Upside price risks
 - Nickel has seen a dramatic shift with the Indonesian ban holding firm. Short-term risks but higher prices for longer.
 - Copper more mined supply will keep the market in a surplus, but probably not as much as the market expects. Financing
 unwind is a temporary distraction. In addition to cost curve and SRB behaviour is providing support.
 - Aluminium: Curtailments have tightened the market outside of China, impacting premiums. More cuts are needed
 preferably in China to turn bullish. Supply cuts are tempering supply growth rates.

Precious Metals: a strong USD and rising bond yields offset by strong physical demand

- Cautious Gold on a USD revival. H2 will see the Fed turn more hawkish in its messaging. A change in Indian import duties will be supportive at the margin.
- Silver likely to re-rate as global PMI's improve. Cheap on a positioning and valuation perspective.
- Improving platinum fundamentals as Auto demand in the Developed world remains robust. Protracted strike has helped the inventory situation. The strike is likely to have permanently impaired the SA supply base.
- Palladium is a structural favourite and one of our top picks.

Bulks: Supply curtailments versus supply momentum

- Iron ore prices will ease lower as more supply hits the market. High cost supply will have to give way in order to balance the market. Domestic Chinese production, Indian exports and smaller Australian and Canadian producers.
- Coal: Supply cuts in Met coal have provided the market with some support, whilst there have been minimal cuts in Thermal. More cuts required to balance market.

Ranking metals on fundamental and technical factors



Relative ranking matrix



Price performance Jan to Dec



Sources: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

- We attempt to rank the relative attractiveness of metals according to fundamentals and technical factors.
- We are relatively more positive on nickel, zinc, lead, platinum and palladium based on market supplydemand balances.
- While we are relatively more cautious on gold, silver, alumina, met coal and iron ore
- However, it should be noted that a single variable can exert a very strong influence and so metrics are not necessarily independent.

Strong demand matched by supply growth Downside risks from China, especially in Bulk commodities





Metal surplus / deficit as a % of demand



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Wood Mackenzie, AME

Industrial metal supply growth



Industrial metal price versus marginal cost





Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (grant.sporre@db.com) Michael Hsueh (michael.hsueh@db.com)

The demand outlook is improving



Global demand weighted PMI

	2005 - current		Sept'08 - current	
	Correlation	PMI lead	Correlation	PMI lead
	Coefficient	(months)	Coefficient	(months)
Copper	0.423	3-months	0.724	1-month
Aluminium	0.686	3-months	0.747	3-months
Nickel	0.523	3-months	0.699	1-month
Zinc	0.571	2-months	0.850	1-month
Lead	0.257	3-months	0.810	1-month

Source: Thomson Reuters Datastream, Deutsche Bank

- Good correlation between the base metals and demand weighted global PMIs post the GFC
- Best correlation is a 1 to 3 month lag, with prices lagging the PMI's
- Zinc has the tightest correlation, Nickel has the weakest

The demand outlook is improving.... ...at 40 – 50% of global demand, the China PMI is key





Regional PMIs / ISMs versus China IP



Global PMI vs Nickel prices



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Thomson Financial Datastream

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (grant.sporre@db.com) Michael Hsueh (michael.hsueh@db.com)

Global PMI vs Aluminium prices

Global PMI vs Copper prices



Metal Sensitivities To Chinese Growth





Price sensitivity to GDP growth

Consumption Sensitivity to GDP growth

Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

China consumes 40% or more of most commodities and has been the main contributor to growth.

- Some conundrums in comparing consumption vs price.
- Aluminium due to ample supply
- Iron ore well correlated due to limited domestic supply

Fed Tightening & Industrial Metals



The Performance of Base Metals Around Fed Tightening Cycles



The Relative Winners & Losers During Fed Tightening Cycles



Outlook

- History would suggest that the start of a new Fed tightening cycle should be bullish industrial metal prices. We examined the performance of the Journal of Commerce metals index in the last four tightening cycles and found that to some degree the more aggressive the Fed raised rates in the first year of the turn in monetary policy the more powerful the rally in industrial metal prices.
- In terms of relative winners and losers nickel, copper and aluminium have typically displayed the strongest price rallies in the twelve months following the first tightening move in US policy rates.

The Inflection Points For Gold



#1 US Dollar Trade Weighted Index

The US dollar trade-weighted index hit rock bottom on July 26, 2011 and has been strengthening modestly ever since.

#2 US Equity Risk Premium

- The ERP hit a record high in September 2012 and has been falling steadily ever since.
- The ERP is the difference between the expected rate of return on a risk free asset and the rate of return on the stock market.

#3 US Real Interest Rates

US long term real interest rates hit rock bottom on December 6, 2012. As the Fed prepared the market for QE tapering, real yields have been moving steadily higher. However, in the past month, real yields have started falling again.

US Dollar Cycles



Long Run Cycles In The US Dollar



Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

Outlook

- The US dollar has tended to display long run cycles of rising and falling for extended periods of time. Typically dollar cycles persist for around seven years.
- We believe a new long term uptrend in the US dollar is underway and that EURUSD and USDJPY will hit 1.10 and 125 over the next two years.

US Dollar Strength



Winners & Losers According To Global Growth & The US Dollar



Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

Outlook

- Industrial metals tend to be the relative out-performers when the US dollar is strengthening.
- The coefficients denote the percentage change in commodity prices for a 1% change in the US TWI and the global output gap.
- We find that precious metals and oil tend to weaken in a rising dollar environment while industrial metals tend to be more resilient and more closely tied to the global business cycle.

Fed Policy & QE Tapering





US Real Yields & The Gold Price

Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

Equity Risk Premium & The Gold Price



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

- Weak US growth provides the best chance for gold to recover.
- However, we see US growth recovering, US real yields moving higher and the US equity risk premium resuming its downward march.
- The main adjustment that is still to occur is the US dollar which is still trading cheap on a PPP basis.

Gold Prices, The Fed & Real Interest Rates



Gold & Silver Returns & Real Interest Rates



Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

- Gold and silver returns perform well when real interest rates are low or negative.
- However, while QE helped to push US real 10 year yields deeper into negative territory, the anticipation of QE tapering has pushed them significantly higher since the end of last year.
- This has introduced a strong headwind for gold and silver returns. This will likely intensify when the Fed eventually starts to raise short term interest rates.

Holdings In Precious Metals Exchange Traded Funds



ETF Gold & Silver Holdings



- Gold ETF holdings peaked on December 31, 2012 and consequently just a few weeks after US real yields started to rise.
- Last year witnessed 869 tonnes of outflows from gold ETFs. This was equivalent to more than the inflows of the three previous years combined. So far this year, outflows have been a more moderate 48 tonnes.
- Silver ETF holdings have been more resilient relative to gold.

Central Bank Activity





European Central Bank Gold Sales



Sources: Deutsche Bank, IMF

Outlook

- Since the Washington Accord was first signed in 1999, there has been a significant scaling back in European central bank gold sales.
- Not surprisingly this has meant that market attention in terms of central bank gold activity remains largely focused on the role of emerging market countries.
- We find that on a global basis, central bank gold holdings have been rising for the past five years.

Gold Price Bubble



'When Can Gold Prices Be Considered Extreme?

Indicator	Gold price level
Analyst forecasting error	1,300
In real terms (PPI)	1,455
As a share of global GDP	1,500
In real terms (CPI)	1,880
Versus base metals	2,100
Relative to per capita income	2,390
Versus crude oil	2,890
As a share of the S&P500	2,960
Average	2,059

Source: Deutsche Bank

Outlook

In June 2010, when gold prices were trading at USD1,200, we published research stating that gold prices would have to hit USD2,000 before they could be considered extreme.

Estimating Fair Value For Gold



Valuing Gold Relative To Per Capita Income



'Fair Value' Of Gold Across Various Indicators

Average	941
Versus crude oil	1,400
Versus copper	1,050
Relative to the S&P500	900
Relative to per capita income	800
In real terms (CPI)	770
In real terms (PPI)	725

Sources: Deutsche Bank

- Gold prices would need to fall towards USD725/oz to bring gold back towards its long run historical average in real terms (PPI adjusted).
- Against per capita income, gold prices would need to drop to USD800/oz.
- In terms of the average of the indicators we assess gold against, gold would need to fall to USD940/oz to be considered close to fair value.

The Fed, USD and Gold.... ...Physical flows not quite at a tipping point





EM Central Bank Gold Holdings & % of reserves (as of Mar 14)



Gold vs the 1-month GOFO rate



Gold to silver ratio vs the ISM



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Thomson Financial Datastream, NBS, World Gold Council, IMF

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (grant.sporre@db.com) Michael Hsueh (michael.hsueh@db.com)

Investment flows still drive the price







Summary

- Even though there are still ETF outflows, the momentum can lead to a short-term bounce in the price.
- Gold prices have exhibited a strong correlation with the level of physically backed ETF holdings.

PGMs: Limited price movements despite the strikes Good demand indicators in the developed markets





Chinese passenger vehicle sales



W. European monthly SAAR – continued recovery



US sponge vs Zurich ingot switch (USD/oz)



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Johnson Matthey, Mitsubishi

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (<u>grant.sporre@db.com</u>) Michael Hsueh (<u>michael.hsueh@db.com</u>)

PGMs: Limited price movements despite the strikes ...but its all about inventories



Estimated production losses from SA (end June) – koz of Pt



Global Platinum inventories



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Johnson Matthey, SFA Oxford, GFMS

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (grant.sporre@db.com) Michael Hsueh (michael.hsueh@db.com)

Estimating SA production for 2014

koz	Platinum	Palladium	Rhodium
January capacity	4,102	2,432	551
Initial disruption allowance	-70	-50	0
Forecast production	4,032	2,382	551
Production loss to end of June	-1,202	-765	-175
Ramp-up losses	-314	-200	-46
Stockpiles	400	120	80
Total	2,917	1,538	410

Global Palladium inventories



Pt: improved balances tempered by high stock levels





Surplus / Deficit without investment demand



Source: Johnson Matthey, Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

- We continue to see improving fundamentals given ongoing strong vehicle growth in both the US and China (positive for Pd) and a rebound in Europe (positive for Pt and Rh).
- A continued recovery in European Auto sales in Q2'14 bodes well for 2014, compounded by EuroVI
- We forecast both markets will be in deficit this year and deficits are likely to increase over the medium term, but we forecast a modest drawdown of stocks.
- The worst of the gold and Rand headwinds in 2013 is likely to be over

Stabilising European demand with a Euro VI kicker





W. European vehicle sales trends

Global autocat Pt demand



Source: Deutsche Bank, JMAT, SFA

- We see global autocat demand improving in 2014/15 (+4%), driven by improving European auto sales, Euro VI legislation, and an increase in off-road demand.
- Risks remain on the technology choice to cope with Euro VI: NOx trap vs. SCR (selective catalytic reduction) which is a low PGM option.
- Further risk on diesel market share in Europe, as the fuel economy on smaller petrol engines continuously improve.

Some risks from diesel price hikes in India





Indian market share by power train type

Indian diesel and gasoline prices



Source: Deutsche Bank, Company reports, JMAT

- During 2010 -2012, diesel costs in India were 25 40% below gasoline.
- Significant investment into diesel powertrains by OEMs.
- Reversal of the subsidy policy means that the price differential could narrow to less than 10% over time.
- Expectations are for the mix to settle out at 50:50.

PGMs: Longer-term impacts from the strikes



...an unlikely return to pre-strike levels

Revenue/t for the different ore bodies in Amplats portfolio



...the challenge: Amplats resource and reserve split by ore body type



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Company reports

Profit/t for the different ore bodies in Amplats portfolio



Importance of Rhodium in UG2 (West Bushveld)



Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (grant.sporre@db.com) Michael Hsueh (michael.hsueh@db.com)

...but the Rand is providing some relief



South African PGM cost curve



Source: Deutsche Bank

Palladium is a structural preference





Annual US light vehicle sales

Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Wards, LMC Automotive, CAAM

China's commercial vehicle sales



Source: Deutsche Bank, China Association of Automobile Manufacturers (CAAM)

- US and China to remain in growth territory. However, do no underestimate Europe's contribution to palladium demand in a recovery scenario.
- Momentum does favour platinum however, as European sale go from decline to growth
- After the government transition in March, reducing the extreme levels of air pollution in China has moved to the top of the political agenda. Stricter standards could lead to faster old vehicle scrapping and could in fact drive new car sales up.

Chinese autocat palladium demand is set to rise (structural favourite





Additional Pd demand from Autocats by the end of the decade

Source: JMAT, Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

- Meanwhile we expect PGM to play a greater part in reducing China's pollution problem.
- The combination of low penetration compared to other more developed markets and increasing emission standards is likely to push autocat demand for palladium higher by 120% by the end of decade.
- Pollution initiatives both positive and negative: a lower overall vehicle sales growth as numbers are limited in certain cities, offset by a quicker implementation of emission standards. Our take – net positive.

ETF inflows continue, boosted by new ETF's in SA



3.0 Total platinum ETF holding (Moz) 2,400 Platinum Price (USD/oz) RHS 2.5 2,200 2.000 2.0 1,800 1,600 1.5 1.400 1.0 1,200 1,000 0.5 800 0.0 600 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014

Platinum inflows boosted by the ABSA ETF

Palladium has seen modest inflows



Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

- The ABSA ETF absorbed over 900koz of platinum, without impacting the price. (What would the price have done in the absence of ETF appetite?)
- Has been a good Rand hedge for the South Africans
- Total palladium holdings now at record levels boosted by two SA listed ETFs
- Inflows in platinum have continued despite our concerns of cannibalisation




Rhodium supply – demand balance



Steady inflows into the ETF

Source: Deutsche Bank, JMAT, SFA Oxford, Bloomberg Finance LP

- Inventories remain high, so forecast deficits are unlikely to draw down inventories too much.
- ...but the price differential versus palladium is making the metal more attractive.
- Rhodium is the most effective PGM at treating NOx, but now has to compete with SCR.
- Overcoming the resistance with the auto manufacturers.

Rhodium – growth from China but also from NOx control





Rhodium Autocat loadings vs Palladium

Additional Rh demand from Autocats by the end of decade (koz)



Source: Deutsche Bank, JMAT, SFA Oxford, Bloomberg Finance LP

- Rhodium is more exposed to the gasoline market, and should see increased demand to the end of the decade (c.260koz from Autocat by end of decade)
- Reverse substitution can save c. USD10 / autocat (not particularly compelling), or 12% of cost
- 2% change in Rh content, could increase demand by 60 70koz
- Inventories are still high and are only drawn down to critical levels by 2016

Copper: Lacklustre fundamentals, but solid downside support



Surpluses for the next three years, but has cost curve support at 280c/lb



Chinese FAI growth: Power generation and infrastructure



Non-commercial net positions on the Comex



Global copper demand by market sector (2012)



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Wood Mackenzie. CEIC, CFTC

Copper – strong near-term tightness helped by SRB purchases



Global copper inventories – low exchange inventories



LME copper prices vs 3M – cash spread



Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, CEIC, NBS

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (<u>grant.sporre@db.com</u>) Michael Hsueh (<u>michael.hsueh@db.com</u>)

Shanghai physical premiums rebound



70% 10000 60% 8000 50% 40% 6000 30% 20% 4000 10% 0% 2000 Jan 08 Jan 09 Jan 10 Jan 12 Jan 13 Jan 14 Jan 11 Cancelled warrants as % of LME stock _____LME copper price - rhs (USD/t)

Cancelled warrants as a % of LME copper stocks

Copper – a year of destocking?

China copper concentrate vs scrap imports

Spot Copper TC/RC's (USD/t)

50

45

40



China refined copper production



Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, NBS, Wood Mackenzie.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (<u>grant.sporre@db.com</u>) Michael Hsueh (<u>michael.hsueh@db.com</u>)



Chinese copper inventory model



35%

30%

25%

Copper: The epitome of supply momentum



Strong mined supply growth over the next few years



Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Wood Mackenzie, *YTD

Supply disruptions muted in 2013



- Level of copper disruption in 2013 is much lower than previous years 660kt or 3.5% of production.
- 2014 is on track to hit 1Mt of disruptions or delays by year end. This equates to 5.4% of global demand
- Nature of supply becomes more risky into 2014 and 2015E due to greenfield projects, hence the increasing supply disruption.

Copper: A lack of scrap has played its part





The drivers of global refined copper demand



Refined surplus / deficit without a change in scrap

Refined surplus/deficits (with or without)

Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Wood Mackenzie, SNL Metals & Mining

Outlook

In 2013 the scrap market tightened even further, and there was a decline of 2%. The weak of economic activity in the two largest global suppliers of scrap, Europe and North America has led to only modest generation of scrap and a further decline in the average price of copper has disincentivised its collection.

Refined surplus / deficit

We forecast a further decline in the scrap market in 2014 of 4%.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Aluminium: An Improving Outlook With Polarized Views



Global aluminium production (daily rate)



Aluminium LME and all-in price



Aluminium demand and supply growth rates 7.0%



Aluminium: Finding some support, but in need of Chinese closures for price rally



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Wood Mackenzie

Deutsche Bank July 2014 **Commodities Research**

Aluminium Outlook: Strong global demand



Region	CAGR (2013 - 2016E)	Drivers of consumption
		Auto sector recovery and the strong market share gains made by
North America	3.7%	aluminium in body parts
		Eurozone recovery aided by continued monetary easing from the ECB.
		The recovery in Auto sector is the key driver, along with light-weighting
W. Europe	2.1%	initiatives
		Poor demographics combined with a relocation of export focused
Japan	-0.1%	downstream production
		Building and construction, driven by a house refurbishmentand new
Brazil	4.0%	home development programm
		A rivial of growth post the resounding election victory of Modi. Election
		promises include materials intensive growth, especially in high speed
		railways, low cost housing and an acceleration of infrastructure
		investments, focused on electrification and the reactiviation of the
India	4.0%	freight and industrial corridors
Russia	2.5%	Medium-term prospects dampened by rising political tensions
		Auto sales growth, although less effect from light weighting, Increasing
		mass transportation penetration, espcially High speed train networks,
China	8.1%	Continued electrification, offset by property weakness.
		Favourable demographic trends in Thailand, Indonesia and Malaysia,
Asia ex China / Japan / India	3.8%	relocation of Japanese downstream capacity
		Commissioning of several downstream facilities, which in turn is driven
		by a broad based demand growth as well as some relocation of capacity
Middle East	9.7%	from Japan
Global	5.6%	

Source: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie, IMF

Further curtailments required in the medium-term





Largest capacity additions over the next four years ex-China (kt)



Source: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie

- Net additions still amount to 3.5Mtpa by 2017E in the world ex-China
- Significant low cost production capacity from the Middle East and India, restarts in Germany, Rusal projects?

Further curtailments required in the medium-term





Aluminium production ex-China (kt)

Chinese capacity additions (kt)



Source: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie

- Over 3Mt of curtailments in China since 2013 temporary or permanent?
- Simply slows additional tonnage to 1.5Mtpa instead of 2.5Mtpa.
- Further Chinese curtailments are very price dependant.

Aluminium: China balancing the ROW





China net exports of semi-fabricated products



Source: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie, NBS

- The ROW market is in a deficit whilst China is in a surplus
- Market is "balanced" through the exports of semi's by China, although these exports have only shown modest growth since 2010

Producers feeling the pinch



Price at the 50th percentile without considering any regional premia



Price vs marginal cost



Source: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie

- In the early Nineties, prices stayed at or below the marginal cost for 3 and a half years, with the collapse of the Soviet Union.
- The price recovery has meant that most of the industry is cash positive or cash neutral at worst.

Alumina is unlikely to impose discipline, near term





China's bauxite stockpiles



Source: Deutsche Bank, China Customs Statistics

Outlook

- China has been diversifying sources of bauxite with more from Australia
- Indonesia's current account deficit is likely to lead to a reprieve on export quotas in our view. Export duties may rise however
- Bauxite stockpiles in China may be as high as 18 months, although China Hongqiao mentioned one year
- Gove shut-down is the only non-aluminium linked closure potential (2.7Mtpa)

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Bauxite may result in cost push inflation however



China Alumina net imports (kt)



China alumina production – 12 month rolling total (kt)



Australian alumina prices (FOB) – USD/t



Estimating the additional cost to Chinese alumina and aluminium

USD/t	Bauxite	Freight	Caustic	Total Energy	Labour	Total Other Costs	Cash Cost (C1)
Current Alumina cost	74.7	35.1	51.3	65.6	16.9	33.0	276.7
Cost incl. Bauxite price increase	180	10.0	51.3	65.6	16.9	33.0	356.8
Additional alumina cost							80.1
Implied additional cost to aluminium							156.2

Source: Deutsche Bank, China Customs Statistics, Bloomberg Finance LP

Nickel: Indonesia holds firm, bullish for longer





Nickel LME stocks versus Price

Nickel mined supply by country / region



Nickel: Indonesian ore ban holds firm; Chinese NPI output estimates



Nickel supply – demand balance



Source: Deutsche Bank, CEIC, Wood Mackenzie, Thomson Reuters Datastream

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Nickel: strong growth driven by Chinese melt capacity in 2013; the outlook is more muted



China stainless steel apparent consumption

Global growth rates in Ni and Ni end products





Source: Deutsche Bank, CEIC, Wood Mackenzie

Outlook : Weaker demand in 2014 and 2015 as part of the adjustment to deficits

- Strong nickel consumption growth (9.4%) driven by robust Chinese melt capacity growth (9% globally)
- ...at the expense of hollowing out capacity in Europe
- Trimming back both SS and Non SS Nickel end demand lower drill rigs counts, aircraft orders etc.
- Stainless Steel melt to fall back to 5% (at or below trend)
- More scrap and substitution into Ferritic grades

Nickel: Ore stockpiling - when will they run out?





Source: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie, Ferroalloynet.com, NBS

- Total ban = 7 8 months of stocks; the impact is likely to be felt at the end of Q3.
- Smaller mills with smaller balance sheets may feel the impact sooner
- Chinese Port stocks have been drawn down.

Nickel: An industry under pressure before the ban



350

300

250

200

150

100



Source: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie, CRU

- 30 35% of the industry was loss making despite costs easing, now most of the industry is EBITDA +ve
- Philippine high grade ore has nearly doubled to US\$100/t since the ban putting pressure on costs.
- Higher ore prices equate to USD5,000 6,000/t in C1 cash costs, taking a large proportion of Chinese NPI to c.USD19,000/t
- Short term pricing has been driven by speculative interest

Nickel: Filling the gap will take time and money Philippines





Nickel Asia ore sales by destination



Philippine nickel production



Nickel Asia's ore grades



Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Nickel: Filling the gap will take time and money Indonesia and elsewhere



Potential Nickel project ramp-up from the last wave of investment (kt)



Global Nickel inventories versus annual average pricing



Nickel supply additions outside of Indonesia as a % of world supply



Nickel Asia's ore grades



Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Zinc: Chinese mine output restrains smelter output



Zinc TC's (USD/t)



A brief burst in mined supply, should keep the concentrate market in surplus



Zinc "pinch point": LME inventory vs days of consumption





Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Wood Mackenzie

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Zinc – Inventory & premiums

Zinc inventory (wks consumption) vs zinc prices



Zinc SHFE inventory vs Shanghai physical premium



Zinc physical premium



Outlook

- The Zinc market is likely to be in a definitive deficit for 2014.
- Over the next couple of years, we expect that zinc could remain in a small deficit market which could eventually tighten up physical availability.
- Zinc exchange inventories in terms of days of consumption have been declining over the past 6 months.

Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, CEIC, NBS

Demand: Near term outlook



China durable consumer sales vs galvanised steel production



Source: Deutsche Bank, NBS, CEIC, Bloomberg Finance LP

Chinese galvanized steel production vs apparent zinc consumption



Outlook

- Strong growth in the automobile and white goods sectors has boosted galvanised steel consumption.
- A recovering profile in China's refined zinc production and net imports is a further indicator of improving apparent demand.
- Chinese apparent zinc demand is up a modest 4% y/y to May, at odds with much stronger galvanized steel sheet output.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Demand: Medium term outlook





US zinc consumption intensity kg/capita

China zinc consumption intensity kg/capita



Source: Deutsche Bank

- Over the medium term as China's shift from an asset heady to consumer economy will change zinc consumption. Zinc could benefit in two ways.
- 1) Although the construction industry accounts for 55% of end demand for zinc, at least 40% of demand is related to consumer products & transport.
- 2) China will seek to upgrade its economy and use more zinc in corrosion resistance.

Supply: The Chinese smelter conundrum



China's refined zinc production

Chinese mined output vs cons imports



Source: Deutsche Bank, NBS

- China's refined zinc production rebounded meaningfully in 2013, up 10% yoy. However output is up only 1.7% YTD, constrained by flat TC's, and a lack of domestic concentrate.
- Some Chinese zinc smelters continued to make losses partially due to environmental protection costs.

Supply: Fragmented industry, with environmental constraints





- We believe that the key determinant for zinc's medium term outlook is mine supply. We forecast supply growth in the zinc market to see deceleration due to the closures of several large Western mines.
- This is a function of lower grades, deeper mines, challenging geology and slower expansion (lower by-product silver prices)
- Chinese mined production is also struggling, with increases in inner Mongolia being offset by supply elsewhere

Lead– Inventory & premiums



Lead inventory (wks consumption) vs zinc prices



Lead exchange inventory & cancelled warrants



US lead premium vs LME cancelled warrants as % of total



Outlook

- Relatively healthy lead fundamentals is a function of strong auto sales production in the US & China as well as soft Chinese smelter production growth in our view.
- Lead exchange inventory declined moderately.
- Despite a sharp drop in LME cancelled warrants, physical lead market remains tight.

Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, CEIC, NBS

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Lead: Market Outlook





- Strong US, China auto consumption growth and a steady recovery in parts of the European market supported OE (original equipment) battery demand.
- China's lead ore & concentrate imports recovered in Q4 last year and domestic lead ore production hit record highs. However, 2014 has seen declines once more.

Lead: Mined supply continues to struggle in China



Xinjiang leilongjiang Hebei

Zhejiang

Guizho



China's April mine output - contraction in

Source: Deutsche Bank, CEIC, NBS, Wood Mackenzie

- China Telecoms remains a key area of demand, in the near-term. Base station equipment is up 150% YTD
- China's mine production is down 8% yoy partially due to govt. Ordered closures, due to environmental reasons.

Lead: Market Outlook

China refined lead production (Kt)





Lead TCs (USD/t)

Outlook

- China refined lead production levels have stayed relatively flat over the past three years, coinciding with low TCs.
- We expect that global mine growth to slow substantially from 10% to 2% in 2014. In China, ore reserves are declining and could result in future mine closures.

Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, CEIC, NBS

Bulks: Hardest hit, but finding tentative cost support ...supply keeps coming in both iron ore and coking coal



Global steel monthly steel progression Y/Y



Iron ore mined supply growth by region (Mt)



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, World Steel Association, NBS, Wind

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (<u>grant.sporre@db.com</u>) Michael Hsueh (<u>michael.hsueh@db.com</u>)

China property sales vs Crude Steel production



Chinese iron ore production - annualised



Some risks in China over the medium term



Chinese Crude steel production growth forecasts

China's consumption by end use in 2013





Source: Wind, Mysteel, CISA, NBS, AME, Deutsche Bank

- Continued growth in China, but it is dependent on the property sector not slowing down too much
- ...Forecasting 3.7% in 2014 which is well down on 2013 at c. 9%. But better than 2012 at 2.1%.
- Property sector remains the key risk. We expect a cyclical pick-up in Q4 after price cuts of c.20%

Strong Chinese imports



110 110 100 100 90 90 80 80 70 70 60 60 50 50 40 40 30 30 20 20 10 10 0 0 Jan10 Jan07 Jan08 Jan09 Jan11 Jan12 Jan13 Jan14 China iron ore port stocks (LHS) Days of imports

Iron ore port inventory

Chinese ore imports (monthly)



Source: NBS, Bloomberg Finance LP, Antaike, Deutsche Bank

- China imported record quantities of iron ore in 2013, and as a result port stocks have reached record levels. Still well off the peak on a Days of Import basis.
- Usual start of year volatility, but the run rate is well ahead of 2013, with record levels of port inventories.

Iron ore: Supply is coming



...but its likely to be a steady stream, and not a wave



Source: Company reports, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- An average of c.130Mtpa based on the DB equity analyst forecasts
- Total of 340Mtpa of new seaborne iron ore capacity hitting the markets over the next three years
- 2015 will be the peak year for additions at just shy of 8%% Y/Y growth. We forecast only 3.4% growth in 2014E, but this includes Chinese high cost curtailments

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Iron ore: Seeking out the resistance points



Adjusted iron ore cost curve – demand intersections



No growth scenario (Mt)	2013	2014E	2015E	2016E	Base case (Mt)	2013	2014	2015	2016
Basic cash cost	96	90.0	79.2	66.5	Demand forecast	1,964	2,019	2,089	2,139
Cash charge	109	95.5	83.4	81.3	Basic cash cost	96.1	91.8	87.5	81.1
Cash charge + sustaining capex	110	99.1	87.8	86.5	Cash charge	103.3	99.9	89.3	84.1
						400.0	404.0	00	00.4

Dasic Casil Cost	90.I	91.0	07.5	01.1
Cash charge	103.3	99.9	89.3	84.1
Cash charge + sustaining capex	109.2	104.6	96	90.1
Min price	111	91		
Ave price	130	114		
Max price	159	135		

Source: AME, Deutsche Bank
Bulks: Hardest hit, but finding tentative cost support ...Ultimately pricing levels give us an idea where support levels are



Spot iron ore price CIF China 62% (USD/t)

US\$/t CIF China, Dry 62%



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Thomson Datastream

Bulks: Hardest hit, but finding tentative cost supportsupply keeps coming from Australia, squeezing out the US



Queensland Coal exports

		MoM change			
QLD Port (Mt)	May'14	(days adjusted)	YoY change	2014 YTD	YoY YTD ch.
Gladstone	5.65	-3.25%	5.71%	28.53	29.74%
Abbot Point	2.17	15.93%	15.17%	8.92	8.93%
Dalrymple Bay	6.14	4.18%	9.84%	26.64	5.04%
Hay Point	3.45	-16.17%	12.21%	17.25	21.21%
Total	17.41	-1.76%	9.54%	81.34	16.58%

Coking Coal exports by region



Outlook

- Curtailments in the coking coal market are over 18Mtpa mostly in the US
- However, the Australian recovery will still result in modest net additions
- Demand from China in the form of imports has been lacklustre, but should recover on the back of a narrowing arbitrage

Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, AME, DTC, McKloskey

Bulks: Hardest hit, but finding tentative cost support

...Contrasting US closures with Australian exports

				volume impact		Announcement	
Company	Country	Mines	Region	(Mt)	Coal type	date	Notes
Alpha Natural					Low, mid and		Lowered sales guidance by
Resources	USA		Сарр	1.4	high vol	May-14	1.4Mt
		Cumberland River,					Sales guidance lower by 1.1Mt,
		Sentinel, Beckley &					half from lower output, half
Arch Coal	USA	others	Сарр	1.1	high vol	Apr-14	from switch into thermal
							Will not resume sales until
Beacon Hill	Mozambique		Tete	0.8	high vol	May-14	prices recover
Cliffs	USA	Pinnacle	Сарр	2.3	low-vol	Jun-14	Idle for 6 months
CONSOL	USA	Buchanan	Сарр	0.5	low vol	Apr-14	Sales guidance in Q1 results
CONSOL	USA	Bailey, Enlow Fork	Napp	0.3	high vol	Apr-14	Sales guidance in Q1 results
Drummond	USA	Shoal Creek	Alabama	0.3	mid vol	Apr-14	Idling longwall for 6 weeks
Glencore							Production will be suspended
Xstrata	Australia	Ravensworth	New South Wales	2.1	semi-soft	Mar-14	in Sept .
		No35, 58, 65, Coal					
Mechel		Mountain No. 1, Red			Low vol, mid		
Bluestone	USA	Fox Surface	Сарр	1.6	vol, high vol	Apr-14	Suspended operations
		Wells Complex					
		(Black Stallion, CC10					
		mines & Wells prep					
Patriot Coal	USA	plant)	Сарр	1.3	high vol	Apr-14	Aligning production with sales
							Shifting production from Hail
							Creek into the thermal coal
Rio Tinto	Australia	Hail Creek	New South Wales	0.3	semi soft	Apr-14	market
							Cut staff with production going
Solid Energy	NZ	Stockton	NZ	0.5		Jun-14	from 1.9 - 1.4Mtpa
					Premium		
					semi-hard,		Idling 2 mines, with coal being
Vale	Australia	Integra Mine	NSW	1.5	semi-soft	May-14	sub-economic. 500 jobs lost
		Brazion (Brule &					
		Willow Creek) &			mid vol, low		Wolverine idled in April,
Walter Energy	Canada	Wolverine mine	British Colombia	3.6	vol PCI, HCC	Apr-14	remainder idled by July
Total				17.6			

Sources: Doyle Trading Consultants

Bulks: Hardest hit, but finding tentative cost support ...Tentative signs of stabilisation





Chinese Coking Coal imports (monthly)



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, AME, SX Coal, Datastream

Chinese domestic Met coal price



Cash cost for the major producers 2011 - 2014E



Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (<u>grant.sporre@db.com</u>) Michael Hsueh (<u>michael.hsueh@db.com</u>)

Thermal Coal: Following marginal cost lower



...Relying on India for demand



China import differential and monthly imports from Australia



Sources: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, AME, SX Coal, Wood Mackenzie

Indonesian coal production (Govt reported)



China utility inventories and domestic coal price (lhs days, rhs \$/t)



Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (<u>grant.sporre@db.com</u>) Michael Hsueh (<u>michael.hsueh@db.com</u>)

Thermal Coal: Seasonally weak market in Q3



200

180

160

140

120

100

80

60

40

20

0



Front-end curve structure remains weak

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Source: Markit Economics, Deutsche Bank

- Front-end curve structure has remained in contango since January. Q3 is typically a weak quarter for coal markets after buying for the summer peak has concluded and before restocking for the winter takes hold.
- Manufacturing PMI hovers near the historically neutral level for coal prices, with advances in China, Japan and Taiwan offset by declines in Eurozone and South Korea conditions.

Little effect from lignite ban





Colombia supply losses



Source: Wood Mackenzie, AME, Deutsche Bank

Source: McCloskey, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- While the Chinese lignite ban is now taking effect on a trial basis in Fujian province, the parameters of the ban mean that it may have little real effect. The calorific-value limitations only apply in the case where the imported coal is transported more than 600km from port to customer. For most coal imported in Fujian, this is not the case.
- Producers in Australia are constrained by take or pay rail and port agreements, reducing their ability to respond to prices just below marginal costs. All Russian coal mines are privately owned and do not receive any government subsidies.
- Unplanned supply disruptions are minor so far this year, being limited to Drummond loss of 2mt in Q1-14.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

China Thermal Coal Demand Growth Slowing



China Net Coal Import Demand (million tonnes)



Source: McCloskey, Deutsche Bank

- 2013 was the first time in five years when Chinese import demand growth was weaker than India. We view this as the first confirmation of our expectation that Chinese demand growth will be more substantially satisfied with domestic production growth than in the past. Nuclear power buildout in China will be the strongest of any country worldwide.
- While we expect total Chinese demand growth averaging 150mt in 2014 and 2015 including cement and chemical industry demand, the scale of investments in Chinese thermal coal mining capacity could potentially enable supply growth of as much as 200mt per year. However, coastal power plants will still find it expedient to import coal.

China Import Differential



Import differential (\$/t)



Newcastle import differential and imports



Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, McCloskey, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- The China import differential for thermal coal has weakened for Richards Bay but remains viable for Indonesia and Newcastle. The lows seen in late 2013 and early 2014 did not result in an appreciable decline in demand for Newcastle coal.
- For an example from history where a drop in the differential did result in a change to import demand, we can look at the Newcastle import differential which ranged between -\$10/t and -\$20/t for several months in late 2010 & early 2011. During this period, China's imports from Australia fell to as low as 400,000 tonnes per month.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Declines in cash costs expected



Change in regional cash costs (\$/t)



Source: AME, Wood Mackenzie, Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

- We now expect that the declines in marginal costs observed in 2013 will likely continue through the end of 2015 as oil prices recede further and producer currencies generally weaken versus the dollar. In Australia, we expect the currency to weaken by a further 16% by the end of 2015, which would result in a \$13/t reduction in USD marginal cost.
- Arguably, coal markets did not reach a significant price support level in 2013 as we did not see thermal coal mine closures. If we assume Australian marginal cost at \$84/t minus take-or-pay rail and port costs which are roughly \$20/t that suggests we may not see significant price support until the mid \$60's.

China Lignite Ban



Sulphur (%)

1.5%

3%

1%

2%

Indonesian production by calorific value



Chinese lignite ban

Coal type

Bituminous

Bituminous

Lignite

Lignite

Distance over 600km

FALSE

FALSE

TRUE

TRUE

Calorific value (NAR)

No limit

No limit

3,941

4,300

Ash (%)

30%

40%

20%

30%

Source: McCloskey, Deutsche Bank

- The establishment of a lignite ban in China first proposed in May 2013 is now considered official. The first stage in the process will be to institute a test bans in Fujian and Jiangsu provinces, followed by a gradual rollout to other provinces.
- The conditions of the ban differ depending on the type of coal imported (lignite or bituminous). Sulphur and ash restrictions will not be an issue for Indonesian coal, and calorific value thresholds are only applied to coal transported more than 600km within China.
- Therefore the practical effect will be limited. The establishment of a coal testing system at ports will make it easier to institute a more restrictive ban in the future, however.

Chinese coal demand factors





Source: World Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

- China power growth in 2013 was in line with the historical regression, suggesting that the country has not improved its energy intensity. So far in 2014, we see power growth of 7.5% against forecast GDP growth of 7.8%.
- Hydropower continues to grow, with utilisation this year in line with historical norms. In terms of coal demand, hydropower produced 29.9 metric tonnes equivalent of coal in May, versus 26.5 metric tonnes last year in May.

Chinese import price differential



South China Capesize import differential to domestic coal (\$/t)



Chinese net imports of thermal coal (mt/month)



Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

Source: Bloomberg Finance LP. Deutsche Bank

- Chinese net imports are partly influenced by the difference between the cost of bringing domestic coal from Qinhuangdao port down to South China and the cost of importing coal from various major exporters including Australia, South Africa, Indonesia, Colombia, the United States.
- Another motivation for buyers is to put pressure on domestic prices which represent 70% of price exposure for coastal utilities. Calculation using Panamax rates will be lower.

Indian structural reform



India power capacity in relation to GDP



Source: Council of Power Utilities, Central Electricity Authority, World Bank, National Accounts Statistics of India, Deutsche Bank

Plant load factor in India by fuel type (% of capacity)



- A legacy of underinvestment in the 1990s has left India with an undersized power generation sector relative to the size of the economy. Plant load factors are low owing to fuel availability and failure of SEBs to pay for electricity supplied.
- With the settlement of a cost-plus pricing structure for imports to satisfy FSAs, next steps are (i) the relief of the debt burden plaguing the State Electricity Boards (SEBs), and (ii) the implementation of variable tariffs reflecting the cost of imported fuel for both independent and public power generators.
- Higher tariffs are a must, and higher bids for power purchase agreements in Uttar Pradesh of INR 6/kWh give some hope.

Expansions by region





Source: Deutsche Bank

Source: Wood Mackenzie, Deutsche Bank

Outlook

- Clearly if demand is as low as we expect, this will require a recalibration of expansion plans, particularly in Australia where incentive costs are the highest.
- Producer cutbacks have now been announced at 3 existing mines in South Africa and New South Wales.
- Postponements of new projects are evidenced by efforts to offload capacity at port expansions. Glencore Xstrata has 5.7mt excess capacity at Wiggins Island (Gladstone), Yancoal declared \$50-55m take-or-pay liability for 2013 (NCIG), and Rio Tinto may have 9mt excess capacity at NCIG if it does not develop Mount Pleasant.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Australian Infrastructure Costs





Source: Bloomberg Finance LP, Wood Mackenzie, Deutsche Bank

Source: Wood Mackenzie, Deutsche Bank

- In 2012, large Australian producers did relatively little to curtail production in response to low prices, both because production was committed on long-term contract, and because take-or-pay infrastructure means that cash cost less transport is the more relevant cost measure. The lack of volume adjustment will be a downside risk in 2014 if global growth falls short of our forecasts.
- In our view, the high breakeven cost of Australian thermal coal projects means that these will be postponed or cancelled. Planned supply would otherwise come to market mostly over the 2017-2022 period.

Will FOB prices follow Australian marginal costs?





Australia marginal cash costs (\$/t)

Benchmark FOB coal prices (\$/t)



Source: Wood Mackenzie, Deutsche Bank

- Rising benchmark FOB prices in the mid 2000's were matched by four consecutive years when global growth rates were at its 'potential' level, averaging 3.95% over the 2004-2007 period. Since then, we have only had one year (2010) when growth was near that level.
- We expect global growth will recover to 3.3% this year and 3.9% next year. This should help to absorb any excess volume, keeping prices near to just above current levels. If growth disappoints, market pricing will be challenged by a steady stream of planned expansions and falling marginal costs, and we believe downside risks are significant in this scenario.



Agriculture

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Agricultural Fundamentals

Inventory-To-Use Ratios



Sources: Deutsche Bank, USDA

Outlook

Global inventories across the agricultural complex are being rebuilt.

This is being encouraged by a rebound in US production.

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Grant Sporre (<u>grant.sporre@db.com</u>) Michael Hsueh (<u>michael.hsueh@db.com</u>)



The US Growing Season



Average monthly wheat returns on the DBLCI US corn production projections by year 3 15.0 Average monthly corn returns on the DBLCI 2014-15 2013-14 2 14.0 1 Bushels (billions) 13.0 2011-12 -1 12.0 -2 11.0 Summer months are -3 typically hazardous 2012-13 January 1990 - June 2014 for corn returns 10.0 -4 S Μ .1 А 0 Ν D М M .1 Μ А S D \cap Ν Month US corn production estimate by the USDA was made

USDA Estimates Of US Corn Production

The Seasonality In Grain Returns

- Since 1990, we find that June, July and September corn returns have declined almost 70% of the time.
- The summer months have typically been hazardous months for grain and specifically corn returns due to the US growing season and changes to the USDA's grain production projections.
- Favourable weather across the US is set to deliver a record US grain crop in 2014-15.

The Possible Implications Of An El Niño Event





Annual Production Changes In Major Grain Producing Regions During An El Niño Event

Corn	1972	1982	1991	1997	2009
Australia	-35%	-34%	37%	-32%	-13%
China	-10%	2%	2%	-18%	-1%
India	25%	-5%	-10%	3%	-15%
Wheat	1972	1982	1991	1997	2009
Australia	-23%	-45%	-29%	-16%	2%
Brazil	-65%	-17%	-7%	-26%	-15%
China	10%	15%	-2%	12%	2%
India	11%	3%	11%	12%	3%

- An extreme El Niño event would tend to imply increased precipitation and flooding along the western coastline of the Americas and the Gulf of Mexico as well as droughts in Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Northern Australia and possibly even India.
- We find that Australian corn and wheat production has tended to decline by an average of 15% and 22% respectively in an El Niño year.





Passion to Perform

Prices and Balances

2014 outlook

/

- <u>A continued strengthening in the USD :</u> Fed to become more hawkish in 2014H2
 <u>Another year of strong demand</u>: we are forecasting 7.8% Chinese GDP growth for 2014, predicated on recovering exports, easing credit conditions, and targeted stimulus measures. Europe's rebound to growth. Key risk indebtedness in China
- EM currencies may continue to come under pressure due to current account deficits and money flows back to DM's. But commodity currencies are likely to suffer less, with an improving China outlook.
- Mining companies will continue to cut costs (and capex) in 2014, but momentum will slow, and there will be a cost to future medium-term growth and volumes (2016E).
- The momentum in supply growth (expansions already underway) will however see surpluses in most metals over the next two to three years. Curtailments which up to now have been modest could accelerate, especially in nickel and aluminium.
- Changing LME rules are likely to impact premiums, but at the expense of spot pricing.

Metal sensitivities to Chinese growth





Price sensitivity to GDP growth

Consumption Sensitivity to GDP growth

Source: Deutsche Bank, Bloomberg Finance LP

China consumes 40% or more of most commodities and has been the main contributor to growth.

- Some conundrums in comparing consumption vs price.
- Aluminium due to ample supply
- Iron ore well correlated due to limited domestic supply

Commodities currencies & mining costs



Copper prices & FX index weighted by Cu production



Depreciation of currency baskets from peak to current levels



Source: Deutsche Bank

- We believe that the recent EM-sell-off should be seen in the context of a broadening of the anticipated multi year trend higher in the USD.
- Weaker currencies can have deflationary repercussions for mining costs (in USD terms) and introduce additional downside risk for commodity prices if demand remains sluggish.

Supply – demand balances



		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014e	2015e	2016e	2017e	2018e	2019e	2020e
Primary Aluminium												
Chinese Production	Mt	17.2	19.8	22.4	24.8	26.8	28.3	30.3	32.7	34.8	37.0	39.7
growth	%	27%	15%	13%	11%	8%	6%	7%	8%	6%	6%	7%
Russia Production	Mt	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.9	4.3	4.9	5.1	5.1
growth	%	4%	1%	1%	-7%	-8%	5%	8%	12%	12%	5%	0%
Middle East Production	Mt	3.1	3.9	4.1	4.3	5.0	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.6
growth	%	25%	26%	5%	6%	17%	9%	2%	1%	1%	0%	-1%
Europe & N. American Production	Mt	8.5	9.0	8.5	8.5	8.2	8.4	8.7	9.0	9.2	9.2	9.2
growth	%	0%	6%	-6%	1%	-4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	0%	0%
Global Production	Mt	42.2	46.1	48.1	50.5	52.9	55.7	58.5	61.8	65.2	68.5	71.3
growth	%	12.5%	9.3%	4.3%	5.0%	4.8%	5.3%	5.1%	5.6%	5.5%	5.0%	4.1%
Global Capacity	Mt	50.2	52.9	56.2	62.3	66.9	70.1	72.7	74.2	75.2	75.2	75.2
utilisation rate	%	84%	87%	86%	81%	79%	79%	80%	83%	87%	91%	95%
Primary Aluminium Consumption												
China Consumption	Mt	16.7	19.5	21.5	23.9	25.9	27.9	30.2	32.5	34.8	37.0	39.5
growth	%	18.1%	16.4%	10.4%	11.3%	8.0%	7.8%	8.5%	7.5%	7.0%	6.5%	6.5%
China net imports (exports)	Mt	-0.4	-0.5	0.0	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	-0.3
Developing economies (ex China)	Mt	9.8	10.6	10.8	11.1	12.0	12.5	13.1	13.8	14.4	15.1	15.8
growth	%	11%	8%	3%	2%	8%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
North America	Mt	5.3	5.4	5.9	5.9	6.1	6.4	6.6	6.8	7.1	7.2	7.3
growth	%	9.8%	1.7%	10.1%	0.2%	3.2%	3.8%	4.0%	3.5%	3.0%	2.0%	1.7%
Europe (EU-27)	Mt	7.9	8.3	8.3	8.4	8.6	8.9	9.1	9.3	9.4	9.6	9.8
growth	%	11%	6%	0%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
OECD Consumption	Mt	13.7	13.9	14.5	14.4	14.7	15.1	15.5	15.8	16.0	16.2	16.4
growth	Mt	12%	2%	4%	-1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Global Consumption	Mt	40.7	44.5	47.3	49.9	52.6	55.5	58.9	62.1	65.2	68.3	71.6
growth	%	14.0%	9.2%	6.5%	5.4%	5.3%	5.7%	6.0%	5.5%	5.1%	4.8%	4.8%
Production adjustments	Mt				0	0	-300	-800	-1,000	-1,000	-500	-500
Market balance	Mt	1.48	1.67	0.75	0.58	0.36	0.17	-0.32	-0.28	-0.02	0.10	-0.35
Avg. LME cash price	\$/t	2,191	2,423	2,052	1,889	1,806	1,894	2,200	2,400	2,600	2,500	2,500
Avg. LME cash price	c/lb.	99	110	93	86	82	86	100	109	118	113	113

Sources: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie, WBMS

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research

Supply – demand balances: Copper

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014e	2015e	2016e	2017e	2018e	2019e	2020e
Chile production	Mt	5.48	5.31	5.53	5.87	6.10	6.32	5.98	6.06	6.26	6.02	6.24
Production Growth		0.4%	-3.2%	4.3%	6.1%	4.0%	3.5%	-5.4%	1.4%	3.2%	-3.8%	3.6%
Chile share of global production		34%	33%	33%	32%	31%	30%	28%	29%	29%	29%	31%
Peru production	Mt	1.20	1.20	1.26	1.32	1.31	1.52	2.03	2.30	2.30	2.26	2.24
Production Growth	%	-1.7%	-0.2%	4.9%	5.1%	-1.3%	16.0%	33.9%	13.2%	0.2%	-2.0%	-0.7%
USA production	Mt	1.13	1.13	1.17	1.26	1.29	1.42	1.42	1.41	1.39	1.27	1.24
Production Growth	%	-5.6%	-0.6%	3.5%	8.1%	2.6%	10.2%	-0.4%	-0.7%	-1.2%	-8.5%	-2.3%
China production	Mt	1.25	1.37	1.54	1.60	1.75	1.75	1.72	1.71	1.68	1.68	1.66
Production Growth	%	18.6%	9.1%	12.3%	4.1%	9.5%	-0.3%	-1.5%	-0.5%	-1.6%	-0.5%	-0.8%
Africa production	Mt	1.31	1.41	1.54	1.96	2.42	2.75	2.69	2.62	2.64	2.61	2.54
Production Growth	%	12.8%	7.8%	9.0%	27.2%	23.6%	13.6%	-2.4%	-2.6%	1.0%	-1.0%	-3.1%
Global Mine Production	Mt	16.15	16.16	16.80	18.08	18.62	19.84	20.73	20.91	21.66	21.63	21.37
World Mined Production Growth	%	1.5%	0.1%	3.9%	7.7%	3.0%	6.6%	4.5%	0.9%	3.6%	-0.1%	-1.2%
Copper smelting capacity	Mt	17.69	18.10	18.98	19.67	20.70	22.34	22.55	22.19	22.30	22.30	22.29
Utilisation		72%	70%	69%	73%	71%	71%	74%	76%	80%	81%	81%
Anode production	Mt	14.75	15.41	15.83	16.42	17.21	18.30	19.30	19.45	20.33	20.61	20.72
Production Growth		4.0%	4.5%	2.8%	3.7%	4.8%	6.4%	5.4%	0.8%	4.5%	1.4%	0.5%
Total scrap consumption	Mt	4.09	4.47	4.85	4.73	4.56	4.71	4.85	4.84	4.89	4.98	5.07
Consumption Growth	%	22.4%	9.2%	8.5%	-2.5%	-3.5%	3.4%	3.0%	-0.3%	1.0%	1.9%	1.8%
Total SxEw Production	Mt	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.5	3.2
Global Copper Supply	Mt	18.95	19.73	20.15	20.77	21.76	23.05	24.01	24.15	24.87	24.91	24.73
Global Supply Growth	%	3.7%	4.1%	2.1%	3.1%	4.8%	5.9%	4.2%	0.6%	3.0%	0.2%	-0.7%
Chinese Consumption (real)	Mt	7.20	7.82	8.20	9.16	9.73	10.28	10.83	11.50	12.12	12.71	13.26
Consumption Growth	%	10.8%	8.5%	5.0%	11.7%	6.2%	5.7%	5.4%	6.1%	5.5%	4.9%	4.3%
Western Europe	Mt	3.38	3.20	2.93	2.88	2.94	3.03	3.03	3.04	3.03	3.01	3.00
growth	%	11.6%	-5.4%	-8.4%	-1.7%	2.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.2%	-0.4%	-0.4%	-0.4%
USA	Mt	2.19	2.20	2.23	2.23	2.31	2.40	2.47	2.50	2.49	2.49	2.48
growth	%	6.4%	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%	3.7%	3.8%	3.1%	1.0%	-0.2%	-0.2%	-0.2%
Japan	Mt	1.06	1.00	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.02	1.04	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05
growth	%	21.1%	-5.4%	-1.8%	0.1%	1.5%	2.0%	1.5%	1.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Big 3 mature economies	Mt	6.63	6.40	6.15	6.10	6.26	6.45	6.54	6.58	6.57	6.55	6.54
Consumption Growth	%	11.2%	-3.4%	-4.0%	-0.8%	2.5%	3.1%	1.4%	0.6%	-0.2%	-0.2%	-0.2%
Other mature economies	Mt	1.57	1.37	1.21	1.23	1.22	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.23	1.21	1.19
growth	%	4.6%	-12.8%	-11.4%	1.7%	-0.6%	1.9%	0.4%	-0.2%	-1.4%	-1.4%	-2.1%
Other developing economies	Mt	3.25	3.30	3.37	3.78	4.05	4.39	4.64	4.88	5.14	5.40	5.65
growth	%	13.0%	1.8%	2.0%	12.2%	7.2%	8.4%	5.7%	5.2%	5.2%	5.0%	4.8%
Brazil/India/Russia Consumption	Mt	1.42	1.63	1.60	1.61	1.61	1.63	1.70	1.77	1.83	1.90	1.97
Consumption Growth	%	10.1%	14.1%	-1.8%	0.9%	-0.3%	1.7%	4.0%	3.9%	3.8%	3.8%	3.6%
Other	Mt	-0.42	-0.31	-0.27	-0.50	-0.36	-0.49	-0.62	-0.81	-0.84	-0.89	-0.91
Consumption Growth	%	-4.0%	-26.7%	-11.6%	81.7%	-26.5%	35.0%	25.3%	31.1%	3.8%	6.2%	2.0%
Global Consumption	Mt	19.17	19.61	19.59	20.63	21.51	22.52	23.39	24.32	25.14	25.94	26.69
Global Consumption Growth	%	10.5%	2.3%	-0.1%	5.3%	4.3%	4.7%	3.9%	4.0%	3.4%	3.2%	2.9%
Market balance	Mt	-0.23	0.13	0.57	0.14	0.25	0.53	0.62	-0.16	-0.27	-1.03	-1.95
Average LME cash price	USD/t	7,498	8,829	7,953	7,354	6,818	6,650	6,500	7,200	7,500	7,370	7,628
Average LME cash price	USc/lb	340	401	361	334	309	302	295	327	340	334	346

Sources: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie, WBMS, ICSG



Supply – demand balances: Nickel

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E	2018E	2019E	2020E
Australia mine production	kt	180.9	191.2	237.3	272.7	221.8	215.3	237.3	215.4	211.6	209.6	209.6
Production growth		2.7%	5.7%	24.1%	14.9%	-18.7%	-2.9%	10.2%	-9.3%	-1.8%	-1.0%	0.0%
New Caledonia mine production	kt	130	129	138	137.3	179.5	217.2	243.8	257.9	261.2	263.3	259.0
Production growth		40.0%	-0.5%	7.1%	-0.7%	30.7%	21.0%	12.2%	5.8%	1.3%	0.8%	-1.6%
Canada mine production	kt	154.7	215.3	200.3	198.9	219.3	247.8	254.0	244.6	234.4	232.3	226.5
Production growth		18.7%	39.1%	-6.9%	-0.7%	10.2%	13.0%	2.5%	-3.7%	-4.2%	-0.9%	-2.5%
Russia mine production	kt	278.8	274.3	259.3	245.1	236.1	237.6	232.4	216.7	220.9	219.8	220.8
Production growth		2.7%	-1.6%	-5.5%	-5.5%	-3.7%	0.6%	-2.2%	-6.8%	1.9%	-0.5%	0.5%
Brazil mine production	kt	55.0	95.4	125.6	86.2	103.0	112.8	127.1	137.1	147.2	148.0	148.8
Production growth		24.7%	73.4%	31.7%	-31.4%	19.6%	9.4%	12.7%	7.9%	7.3%	0.5%	0.6%
Indonesia mine production	kt	293.2	465.1	545.9	639.3	109.6	268.9	524.4	522.6	522.6	522.6	522.6
Production growth		28.7%	58.6%	17.4%	17.1%	-82.9%	145.4%	95.0%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Philippines mine production	kt	175.1	205.9	220.0	195.9	310.7	336.7	348.2	349.3	349.3	349.3	349.3
Production growth		23.7%	17.6%	6.8%	-11.0%	58.6%	8.4%	3.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Estimated Ni in Ore - for Ni Pig Iron	kt	363.3	570.7	664.6	770.5	344.0	518.5	789.4	799.2	802.4	804.6	804.6
Production growth		30.8%	57.1%	16.5%	15.9%	-55.4%	50.7%	52.3%	1.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%
World mine production - base case	kt	1,656	1,991	2,175	2,287	1,785	1,979	2,143	2,214	2,305	2,397	2,434
World mine production growth rate		14.1%	20.3%	9.3%	5.1%	-22.0%	10.8%	8.3%	3.3%	4.1%	4.0%	1.5%
Possible projects					0	13	49	67	88	97	109	128
Disruption allowance						-30	-59	-64	-66	-69	-72	-73
Total world mine production	kt	1.656	1.991	2.175	2.287	1.768	1.969	2.145	2.235	2.483	2.584	2.639
Total Smelter output	kt	1.507	1.685	1.811	2.007	1,916	1.791	1.939	2.030	2.154	2.253	2.276
Implied smelter recovery	%	91%	85%	83%	88%	108%	91%	90%	91%	87%	87%	86%
Total refinery capacity	kt	2.224	2.679	2.960	3.203	3.284	3.320	3.372	3.317	3.317	3.317	3.301
Implied utilisation	%	65.9%	61.8%	59.7%	62.2%	57.2%	54.2%	55.6%	60.8%	65.2%	68.3%	70.3%
Base case refinery output	kt	1.465	1.655	1.767	1,992	1.875	1.746	1.776	1.872	2.016	2,116	2,171
Possible projects		1,100	1,000	.,	1,002	.,0.0	.,	.,	143	147	148	148
Total refined availability / Output	kt	1.465	1.655	1.767	1.992	1.878	1,799	1.875	2.015	2,163	2.264	2,319
World refined availability growth rate		9.4%	12.9%	6.8%	12.7%	-5.7%	-4.2%	4.3%	7.5%	7.3%	4.7%	2.4%
Implied Refinery recovery from mined or	%	88.5%	83.1%	81.2%	87.1%	106.2%	91.4%	87.4%	90.2%	87.1%	87.6%	87.9%
Global stainless production	mt	33.0	34.6	35.5	38.7	40.7	42.8	45.0	47.2	49.1	51.0	53.1
Growth		26.0%	4.6%	2.7%	9.0%	5.3%	5.2%	5.1%	4.8%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%
Austenitic stainless demand	mt	23.9	25.1	26.1	28.8	30.1	31.5	32.8	34.7	36.3	37.8	39.3
Austenitic ratio		72.4%	72.6%	73.5%	74.4%	74.0%	73.5%	72.8%	73.5%	74.0%	74.0%	74.0%
Total nickel demand for stainless	kt	1,714	1.788	1.807	1.987	2.063	2,141	2.220	2.341	2.442	2.530	2.622
Nickel content		7.2%	7.1%	6.9%	6.9%	6.9%	6.8%	6.8%	6.8%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%
Nickel scrap consumption	kt	722	715	704	734	774	835	877	936	989	1.037	1.088
Scrap ratio		42.1%	40.0%	39.0%	36.9%	37.5%	39.0%	39.5%	40.0%	40.5%	41.0%	41.5%
Primary Nickel in Stainless	kt	992	1073	1103	1253	1290	1306	1343	1405	1453	1493	1534
Primary Nickel in Non-Stainless	kt	510	536	568	576	605	623	642	661	681	701	722
Total world nickel consumption	kt	1,502	1,609	1,671	1,829	1,895	1,929	1,985	2,066	2,134	2,194	2,256
World nickel consumption growth	%	16.7%	7.1%	3.9%	9.4%	3.6%	1.8%	2.9%	4.1%	3.3%	2.8%	2.8%
Adjustments												
Balance	kt	-36.7	45.8	95.7	162.7	-16.4	-130.6	-109.6	-50.3	29.0	69.9	62.7
Reported stocks	kt	136.9	182.7	278.4	441.1	424.7	294.1	184.5	134.2	163.2	233.1	295.9
Stock to consumption ratio	w ks	4.74	5.90	8.66	12.54	11.66	7.93	4.83	3.38	3.98	5.52	6.82
Annual Average Prices	USD/t	21,745	22,888	17,591	15,102	17,613	21,194	24,000	27,000	25,250	23,500	23,500
Annual Average Prices	USD/Ib	9.87	10.38	7.98	6.85	7.99	9.62	10.89	12.25	11.46	10.66	10.66

Sources: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie, WBMS, INSG



Supply – demand balances: Zinc

Chan ame production provid: Nat. 3.7 4.3 4.5 4.7 4.9 5.1 5.2 6.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 Chan ame production growth % 10% 10% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5%			2010	2011	2012	2013	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E	2018E	2019E	2020E
Chine mine production growth M 15 15 15 15 15 15 14 14 12 12 13 13 13 13 14 Australia mine production growth M 15 15 15 15 15 15 14 14 12 12 13 13 13 13 14 Australia mine production growth M 14 15 12 12 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	China mine production	Mt	3.7	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.9	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	5.6
Australia mine production growth M 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.1 Australia mine production growth M 13% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% </td <td>China mine production growth</td> <td>%</td> <td>16%</td> <td>15%</td> <td>6%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>3%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>2%</td>	China mine production growth	%	16%	15%	6%	5%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Australia mine production growth % 13% 0% 0% 3% -11% -15% 2% 13% -3% -18% Peru mine production growth % -2% -15% 0% 5% -3% 16% 5% -5% 2% 0% -17% North America mine production growth % 12 0.2 0.1 8 1.8 0.2 2.2 2.2 2.1 2.1 2.0 North America mine production growth % 15% 0% -7% 17% 7% 7% 0.8 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	Australia mine production	Mt	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.1
Peru mine production month % 2-2% -15% 0% 5% -3% 16% 5% 5% 5% -5% 22% 1.2 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	Australia mine production growth	%	13%	0%	0%	0%	3%	-11%	-15%	2%	13%	-3%	-18%
Perunting production growth % -2% -15% 0% 5% -5% 5% -5% 2% 0% -1% North America mine production growth % 1% 5% 0% -10% 1% 14% 6% 0% -2% 1% -5% North America mine production growth % 1% 5% 0% -10% 1% 14% 6% 0% -2% 1% -5% Lind amine production growth % 4.0% 3.5% -1.1% 1.0 1.1 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.	Peru mine production	Mt	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
North America mine production Mt 1.9 2.0 2.0 1.8 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.0 North America mine production growth % 1% 5% 0% -10% 1% 1% 6% 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	Peru mine production growth	%	-2%	-15%	0%	5%	-3%	16%	5%	-5%	2%	0%	-1%
North America mine production growth % 1% 5% 0% -10% 14% 14% 0% 0% 2% 1% -5% India mine production growth M 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	North America mine production	Mt	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.0
India mine production growth Mt 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.8 India mine production growth % 4.6% 3.5% -1.7% f130% 0.9% 2.1% 2.9% 6.5% -3.9% -4.6% -1.1% European mine production growth % 3.2% 0.9% 1.4% -1.3% 2.3% 9.6% 8.6% -6.5% -1.02% -8.6% 0.9% 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	North America mine production growth	%	1%	5%	0%	-10%	1%	14%	6%	0%	-2%	1%	-5%
India mine production growth % 4.6% 3.3% -1.7% 13.0% 0.3% 2.1% 2.9% 6.5% -3.9% -4.6% -1.1% European mine production mine production growth % 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	India mine production grow th	Mt	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8
European mine production Mt 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.0 1.0 1.1 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0	India mine production growth	%	4.6%	3.5%	-1.7%	13.0%	0.3%	2.1%	2.9%	6.5%	-3.9%	-4.6%	-1.1%
European mine production growth % 3.2% 0.9% 1.4% -1.3% 2.3% 9.6% 8.6% -6.8% -1.72% -6.8% 0.9% World Mine Production Mt 12.11 12.57 12.78 12.89 13.05 13.67 14.63 15.35 15.88 16.28 0.9% World Mine Production Growth % 7% 3.8% 1.7% 0.9% 1.2% 4.8% 7.1% 4.9% 3.5% 2.4% 0.1% Concentrate for smelling Mt 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 <td>European mine production</td> <td>Mt</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.9</td>	European mine production	Mt	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9
World Mine Production Mt 12.11 12.57 12.78 12.89 13.05 13.67 14.63 15.58 15.88 16.26 16.28 World Mine Production Growth % 7% 3.8% 1.7% 0.9% 1.2% 4.8% 7.1% 4.9% 3.5% 2.4% 0.1% Concentrate for smelling Mt 12.11 12.77 12.78 12.89 13.05 13.67 14.63 15.58 16.26 16.28 Secondary & other zinc Mt 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	European mine production growth	%	3.2%	0.9%	1.4%	-1.3%	2.3%	9.6%	8.6%	-6.8%	-10.2%	-6.8%	0.9%
World Mine Production Growth % 7% 3.8% 1.7% 0.9% 1.2% 4.8% 7.1% 4.9% 3.5% 2.4% 0.1% Concentrate for smelting Mt 12.11 12.57 12.78 12.89 13.05 13.67 14.63 15.35 15.88 16.26 16.28 Secondary & other zinc Mt 0.9 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 16.24 16.86 16.86 16.26 6.8 7.2 7.7 8.0 8.4 8.8 Consumption growth % 14.8% 11.7% 6.6% 6.2% 6.8% 5.5% 6.1% 5.6%	World Mine Production	Mt	12.11	12.57	12.78	12.89	13.05	13.67	14.63	15.35	15.88	16.26	16.28
Concentrate for smelting Mt 12.11 12.57 12.78 12.89 13.05 13.67 14.63 15.35 15.88 16.26 16.28 Secondary & other zinc Mt 0.9 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.7 7.7 8.0 8.4 8.8 Consumption growth % 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.7 7.7 8.0 8.4 <td< td=""><td>World Mine Production Growth</td><td>%</td><td>7%</td><td>3.8%</td><td>1.7%</td><td>0.9%</td><td>1.2%</td><td>4.8%</td><td>7.1%</td><td>4.9%</td><td>3.5%</td><td>2.4%</td><td>0.1%</td></td<>	World Mine Production Growth	%	7%	3.8%	1.7%	0.9%	1.2%	4.8%	7.1%	4.9%	3.5%	2.4%	0.1%
Concentrate for streaming Mt 12.11 12.75 12.69 13.00 13.67 14.63 15.35 15.86 16.26 16.26 Secondary & other zinc Mt 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 <td< td=""><td>Canada ta fan amalija a</td><td>N 44</td><td>40.44</td><td>40.57</td><td>40.70</td><td>40.00</td><td>40.05</td><td>40.07</td><td>44.00</td><td>45.05</td><td>45.00</td><td>40.00</td><td>40.00</td></td<>	Canada ta fan amalija a	N 44	40.44	40.57	40.70	40.00	40.05	40.07	44.00	45.05	45.00	40.00	40.00
Osecondary & duter 2 inc Mit 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 <td>Concentrate for Smelling</td> <td>IVIC</td> <td>12.11</td> <td>12.57</td> <td>12.78</td> <td>12.89</td> <td>13.05</td> <td>13.07</td> <td>14.03</td> <td>15.35</td> <td>15.88</td> <td>10.20</td> <td>10.28</td>	Concentrate for Smelling	IVIC	12.11	12.57	12.78	12.89	13.05	13.07	14.03	15.35	15.88	10.20	10.28
Losses Mt 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 <th< td=""><td></td><td>IVIL N.44</td><td>0.9</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.1</td><td>1.1</td><td>1.1</td><td>1.2</td><td>1.3</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.3</td><td>1.3</td></th<>		IVIL N.44	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.3
Notal retined output Mrt 12.11 12.37 12.37 12.32 13.33 13.37 14.36 15.09 16.24 16.06 16.06 World refined axilability growth % 14% 2.0% -4.0% 3.7% 4.0% 7.1% 4.9% 3.5% 3.8% 0.1% China Refined Consumption Mt 4.7 5.3 5.6 6.1 6.5 6.8 7.2 7.7 8.0 8.4 8.8 Consumption growth % 14.8% 11.7% 6.6% 8.2% 6.8% 5.5% 6.1% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 4.4% Consumption growth % 6% 5.9% 6.2% 0.1% 2.6% 3.4% 3.1% 2.9% 3% 3% 3% 2.6% 3.4% 3.1% 2.9% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3	Losses	IVIC	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	8.0	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.7
World Teilned availability growth % 14% 2.0% -4.0% 3.7% 4.0% 7.1% 4.9% 3.3% 3.6% 0.1% China Refined Consumption Mt 4.7 5.3 5.6 6.1 6.5 6.8 7.2 7.7 8.0 8.4 8.8 Consumption growth % 14.8% 11.7% 6.6% 8.2% 6.8% 5.5% 6.1% 5.6% 5% 5% 5% 4% US Refined Consumption Mt 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.7 Consumption growth % 6% 5.9% 6.2% 0.1% 2.6% 3.4% 3.1% 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.0 Consumption growth % 20.5% 3.1% -7.9% -0.3% 1.2% 2.5% 2.1% 1.8% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% <t< td=""><td>Norld refined output</td><td>IVI T</td><td>12.71</td><td>12.97</td><td>12.45</td><td>12.92</td><td>13.43</td><td>13.97</td><td>7 40/</td><td>15.69</td><td>16.24</td><td>2.00/</td><td>16.88</td></t<>	Norld refined output	IVI T	12.71	12.97	12.45	12.92	13.43	13.97	7 40/	15.69	1 6.24	2.00/	16.88
China Refined Consumption Mt 4.7 5.3 5.6 6.1 6.5 6.8 7.2 7.7 8.0 8.4 8.8 Consumption growth % 14.8% 11.7% 6.6% 8.2% 6.8% 5.5% 6.1% 5.6% 5% 5% 5% 4% US Refined Consumption Mt 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 Consumption growth % 6% 5.9% 6.2% 0.1% 2.6% 3.4% 3.1% 2.9% 3% 3% 3% Europe Refined Consumption Mt 1.9 1.9 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.0 Consumption growth % 20.5% 3.1% -7.9% -0.3% 1.2% 2.5% 2.1% 1.8% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%	wond remied availability growin	70	1470	2.070	-4.070	5.770	4.078	4.078	7.170	4.370	3.078	3.078	0.178
China Refined Consumption Mt 4.7 5.3 5.6 6.1 6.5 6.8 7.2 7.7 8.0 8.4 8.8 Consumption growth % 14.8% 11.7% 6.6% 8.2% 6.8% 5.5% 6.1% 5.6% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 4% US Refined Consumption Mt 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 Consumption growth % 6% 5.9% 6.2% 0.1% 2.6% 3.4% 3.1% 2.9% 3% 3% 3% 3% Europe Refined Consumption Mt 1.9 1.9 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.0 Consumption growth % 20.5% 3.1% -7.9% -0.3% 1.2% 2.5% 2.1% 1.8% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%													
Consumption growth % 14.8% 11.7% 6.6% 8.2% 6.8% 5.5% 6.1% 5.6% 5% 5% 5% 4% US Refined Consumption Mt 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 Consumption growth % 6% 5.9% 6.2% 0.1% 2.6% 3.4% 3.1% 2.9% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3%	China Refined Consumption	Mt	4.7	5.3	5.6	6.1	6.5	6.8	7.2	7.7	8.0	8.4	8.8
US Refined Consumption Mt 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 Consumption growth % 6% 5.9% 6.2% 0.1% 2.6% 3.4% 3.1% 2.9% 3% 3% 3% Europe Refined Consumption Mt 1.9 1.9 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.0 Consumption growth % 20.5% 3.1% -7.9% -0.3% 1.2% 2.5% 2.1% 1.8% 1.4 1.4 1.5 Grosumption growth % 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.5 Grosumption growth 15.9% 7.8% 4.3% 2.0% 0.7% 3.9% 4.7% 5.2% 6% 5% 5% World Refined Consumption Mt 11.69 12.55 12.83 13.32 13.90 14.49 15.11 15.71 16.29 16.84 17.38 World Refined Consumption Growth </td <td>Consumption growth</td> <td>%</td> <td>14.8%</td> <td>11.7%</td> <td>6.6%</td> <td>8.2%</td> <td>6.8%</td> <td>5.5%</td> <td>6.1%</td> <td>5.6%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>4%</td>	Consumption growth	%	14.8%	11.7%	6.6%	8.2%	6.8%	5.5%	6.1%	5.6%	5%	5%	4%
Consumption growth % 6% 5.9% 6.2% 0.1% 2.6% 3.4% 3.1% 2.9% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% <td>US Refined Consumption</td> <td>Mt</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1.7</td>	US Refined Consumption	Mt	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7
Europe Refined Consumption Mt 1.9 1.9 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.0 Consumption growth % 20.5% 3.1% -7.9% -0.3% 1.2% 2.5% 2.1% 1.8% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% <th< td=""><td>Consumption growth</td><td>%</td><td>6%</td><td>5.9%</td><td>6.2%</td><td>0.1%</td><td>2.6%</td><td>3.4%</td><td>3.1%</td><td>2.9%</td><td>3%</td><td>3%</td><td>3%</td></th<>	Consumption growth	%	6%	5.9%	6.2%	0.1%	2.6%	3.4%	3.1%	2.9%	3%	3%	3%
Consumption growth % 20.5% 3.1% -7.9% -0.3% 1.2% 2.5% 2.1% 1.8% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1	Europe Refined Consumption	Mt	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0
Brazil/India/Russia Refined Consumption % 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.5 Consumption growth 15.9% 7.8% 4.3% 2.0% 0.7% 3.9% 4.7% 5.2% 6% 5% 5% World Refined Consumption Mt 11.69 12.55 12.83 13.32 13.90 14.49 15.11 15.71 16.29 16.84 17.38 World Refined Consumption Growth % 15.7% 7.3% 2.2% 3.9% 4.4% 4.2% 4.3% 4.0% 3.6% 3.4% 3.2% Market balance Mt 1.02 0.42 -0.37 -0.40 -0.47 -0.52 -0.15 -0.02 -0.05 0.01 -0.50 Exchange stocks Mt 3.48 3.90 3.52 3.12 2.65 2.13 1.98 1.96 1.91 1.92 1.42 Reported-stock-to-consumption ratio Wks 15.5 16.1 14.3 12.2 9.9 7.7 6.8 6.5 </td <td>Consumption growth</td> <td>%</td> <td>20.5%</td> <td>3.1%</td> <td>-7.9%</td> <td>-0.3%</td> <td>1.2%</td> <td>2.5%</td> <td>2.1%</td> <td>1.8%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>1%</td>	Consumption growth	%	20.5%	3.1%	-7.9%	-0.3%	1.2%	2.5%	2.1%	1.8%	1%	1%	1%
Consumption growth 15.9% 7.8% 4.3% 2.0% 0.7% 3.9% 4.7% 5.2% 6% 5% 5% World Refined Consumption Mt 11.69 12.55 12.83 13.32 13.90 14.49 15.11 15.71 16.29 16.84 17.38 World Refined Consumption Growth % 15.7% 7.3% 2.2% 3.9% 4.4% 4.2% 4.3% 4.0% 3.6% 3.4% 3.2% Market balance Mt 1.02 0.42 -0.37 -0.40 -0.47 -0.52 -0.15 -0.02 -0.05 0.01 -0.50 Exchange stocks Mt 3.48 3.90 3.52 3.12 2.65 2.13 1.98 1.96 1.91 1.92 1.42 Reported-stock-to-consumption ratio Wks 15.5 16.1 14.3 12.2 9.9 7.7 6.8 6.5 6.1 5.9 4.2 Annual average LME cash prices USD/t 2,158 2,212 1,965 1,940 2,072 2,320 2,390 2,460 2,530	Brazil/India/Russia Refined Consumption	%	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5
World Refined Consumption Mt 11.69 12.55 12.83 13.32 13.90 14.49 15.11 15.71 16.29 16.84 17.38 World Refined Consumption Growth % 15.7% 7.3% 2.2% 3.9% 4.4% 4.2% 4.3% 4.0% 3.6% 3.4% 3.2% Market balance Mt 1.02 0.42 -0.37 -0.40 -0.47 -0.52 -0.15 -0.02 -0.05 0.01 -0.50 Exchange stocks Mt 3.48 3.90 3.52 3.12 2.65 2.13 1.98 1.96 1.91 1.92 1.42 Reported-stock-to-consumption ratio Wks 15.5 16.1 14.3 12.2 9.9 7.7 6.8 6.5 6.1 5.9 4.2 Annual average LME cash prices USD/t 2,158 2,212 1,965 1,940 2,072 2,320 2,390 2,460 2,530 2,600 2,600 Annual average LME cash prices USC	Consumption growth		15.9%	7.8%	4.3%	2.0%	0.7%	3.9%	4.7%	5.2%	6%	5%	5%
World Refined Consumption Growth % 15.7% 7.3% 2.2% 3.9% 4.4% 4.2% 4.3% 4.0% 3.6% 3.4% 3.2% Market balance Mt 1.02 0.42 -0.37 -0.40 -0.47 -0.52 -0.15 -0.02 -0.05 0.01 -0.50 Exchange stocks Mt 3.48 3.90 3.52 3.12 2.65 2.13 1.96 1.91 1.92 1.42 Reported-stock-to-consumption ratio Wks 15.5 16.1 14.3 12.2 9.9 7.7 6.8 6.5 6.1 5.9 4.2 Annual average LME cash prices USD/t 2,158 2,212 1,965 1,940 2,072 2,320 2,390 2,460 2,530 2,600 2,600 Annual average LME cash prices USC/lb 98 100 89 88 94 105 108 112 115 118 118	World Refined Consumption	Mt	11.69	12.55	12.83	13.32	13.90	14.49	15.11	15.71	16.29	16.84	17.38
Market balance Mt 1.02 0.42 -0.37 -0.40 -0.47 -0.52 -0.15 -0.02 -0.05 0.01 -0.50 Exchange stocks Mt 3.48 3.90 3.52 3.12 2.65 2.13 1.98 1.96 1.91 1.92 1.42 Reported-stock-to-consumption ratio Wks 15.5 16.1 14.3 12.2 9.9 7.7 6.8 6.5 6.1 5.9 4.2 Annual average LME cash prices USD/t 2,158 2,212 1,965 1,940 2,072 2,320 2,390 2,460 2,530 2,600 2,600 Annual average LME cash prices USC/lb 98 100 89 88 94 105 108 112 115 118 118	World Refined Consumption Growth	%	15.7%	7.3%	2.2%	3.9%	4.4%	4.2%	4.3%	4.0%	3.6%	3.4%	3.2%
Exchange stocks Mt 3.48 3.90 3.52 3.12 2.65 2.13 1.98 1.96 1.91 1.92 1.42 Reported-stock-to-consumption ratio Wks 15.5 16.1 14.3 12.2 9.9 7.7 6.8 6.5 6.1 5.9 4.2 Annual average LME cash prices USD/t 2,158 2,212 1,965 1,940 2,072 2,320 2,390 2,460 2,530 2,600 2,600 Annual average LME cash prices USC/lb 98 100 89 88 94 105 108 112 115 118 118	Market balance	Mt	1.02	0.42	-0.37	-0.40	-0.47	-0.52	-0.15	-0.02	-0.05	0.01	-0.50
Reported-stock-to-consumption ratio Wks 15.5 16.1 14.3 12.2 9.9 7.7 6.8 6.5 6.1 5.9 4.2 Annual average LME cash prices USD/t 2,158 2,212 1,965 1,940 2,072 2,320 2,390 2,460 2,530 2,600 2,600 Annual average LME cash prices USC/lb 98 100 89 88 94 105 108 112 115 118 118	Exchange stocks	Mt	3.48	3.90	3.52	3.12	2.65	2.13	1.98	1.96	1.91	1.92	1.42
Annual average LME cash prices USD/t 2,158 2,212 1,965 1,940 2,072 2,320 2,390 2,460 2,530 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 2,600 <	Reported-stock-to-consumption ratio	Wks	15.5	16.1	14.3	12.2	9.9	7.7	6.8	6.5	6.1	5.9	4.2
Annual average LME cash prices USc/lb 98 100 89 88 94 105 108 112 115 118 118	Annual average LME cash prices	USD/t	2.158	2,212	1,965	1,940	2.072	2.320	2,390	2,460	2.530	2,600	2.600
	Annual average LME cash prices	USc/lb	98	100	89	88	94	105	108	112	115	118	118

Sources: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie, WBMS

Deutsche Bank July 2014 Commodities Research



Supply – demand balances: Iron ore global

Supply		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014e	2015e	2016e	2017e	2018e	2019e	2020e
Brazil	Mt	285	349	373	372	366	418	463	508	545	596	587	568
growth	%	-12%	22%	7%	0%	-2%	14%	11%	10%	7%	9%	-2%	-3%
Australia	Mt	370	404	449	496	582	639	724	781	808	838	859	857
arowth	%	16%	9%	11%	10%	17%	10%	13%	8%	3%	4%	2%	0%
South Africa	Mt	55	61	57	59	63	65	67	67	68	70	73	73
arowth	%	12%	12%	-7%	4%	6%	3%	3%	1%	1%	3%	4%	0%
India	Mt	202	192	164	133	126	157	177	195	212	216	215	221
arowth	%	3%	-5%	-15%	-19%	-5%	25%	1.3%	10%	9%	2%	0%	3%
China	Mt	237	310	340	355	376	300	250	200	180	200	236	241
growth	%	-21%	31%	10%	4%	6%	-20%	-17%	-20%	-10%	11%	18%	2%
CIS incl. Russia	N/tr	161	181	189	192	197	207	216	223	239	246	249	251
arowth	%	-6%	12%	5%	2%	3%	5%	4%	4%	7%	3%	1%	1%
North Amorica	N/#	66	01	08	270	105	109	114	119	120	124	124	123
arowth	1VIL 9/	- 22%	27%	90	19/	6%	103	5%	2%	120	124	0%	- 1%
West Africa	>0 N/#	-32 /0	11	14	23	35	478	52	65	72	470	111	120
grouth	1VIL	294	19/	229/	670/	53	43	201/	26%	110/	20%	100/	179/
Other regions	>0 N/#	2 /8	-1/0	137	136	156	160	175	19/	197	103	105	104
Total iron oro supply	M+	1 484	1 713	1 820	1 964	2 006	2 000	2 2 2 2	2 3 4 3	2 /31	2 5 7 7	2 649	2 659
growth	1VIL	-5 7%	1,713	6.2%	7 4%	2,000	2,033	2,230	2,343	2,431	2,511	2,040	2,050
growin Do m on d	70	-5.7%	2010	0.2%	2.4%	2012	4.0%	20150	4.0%	3.0%	20180	2.0%	20200
Demand	N.44	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014e	2015e	20166	2017e	2018e	2019e	2020e
Global steel production (crude steel)	IVIL	1,235	1,430	1,534	1,543	1,606	1,660	1,722	1,771	1,807	1,846	1,874	1,897
Global BOF production	IVIT	850	968	1,037	1,043	1,101	1,137	1,181	1,209	1,223	1,241	1,250	1,254
growin	%	-1.4%	13.9%	7.1%	0.6%	5.5%	3.3%	3.8%	2.4%	1.2%	1.5%	0.7%	0.3%
% BOF	%	69%	68%	68%	68%	69%	69%	69%	68%	68%	67%	67%	66%
European steel production (crude steel)	l∨lt	168	206	217	209	205	208	211	214	215	217	218	219
European BOF production	l∨lt	88	112	112	109	109	110	110	109	108	107	105	103
growth	%	-30%	28%	0%	-3%	0%	1%	1%	-1%	-1%	-1%	-1%	-2%
% BOF	%	52%	54%	52%	52%	53%	53%	52%	51%	50%	49%	48%	47%
Japan steel production (crude steel)	Mt	88	110	108	107	111	112	113	113	113	113	113	113
Japan BOF production	Mt	68	86	83	82	85	86	87	87	86	86	85	85
growth	%	-23%	26%	-4%	0%	3%	2%	1%	0%	0%	-1%	-1%	-1%
% BOF	%	78%	78%	77%	77%	77%	77%	77%	76%	76%	76%	75%	75%
India steel production (crude steel)	Mt	64	69	74	78	81	87	94	102	111	124	138	151
India BOF production	Mt	24	25	25	26	27	29	33	37	43	51	61	69
growth	%	3%	2%	1%	3%	5%	9%	12%	13%	16%	19%	20%	13%
% BOF	%	38%	36%	34%	33%	33%	34%	35%	36%	38%	41%	44%	46%
China steel prodution (crude steel)	Mt	577	639	702	717	779	808	842	863	871	882	882	882
China steel production (BOF)	Mt	521	572	631	644	700	725	755	771	775	780	774	767
growth	%	16%	10%	10%	2%	9%	3.6%	4.1%	2.2%	0.5%	0.7%	-1%	-1%
% BOF	%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	89%	89%	88%	88%	87%
Iron Ore													
China	Mt	824	910	996	1050	1137	1173	1218	1240	1242	1247	1233	1219
growth	%	13%	10%	10%	5%	8%	3%	4%	2%	0%	0%	-1%	-1%
Japan	Mt	109	134	132	132	136	138	139	139	138	135	135	134
growth	%	-22%	23%	-2%	0%	3%	2%	1%	0%	0%	-2%	-1%	-1%
S. Korea & Taiw an & other	Mt	63	79	95	93	95	91	97	102	106	109	112	112
growth	%	-12%	24%	21%	-2%	2%	-5%	7%	6%	4%	3%	2%	0%
Europe	Mt	123	158	158	153	155	159	158	156	155	153	151	148
growth	%	-30%	28%	0%	-3%	1%	2%	-1%	-1%	-1%	-1%	-1%	-2%
India	Mt	93	97	102	106	111	119	128	139	152	169	189	206
growth	%	3%	4%	5%	4%	5%	7%	8%	8%	10%	11%	12%	9%
Brazil	Mt	38	47	50	41	40	41	43	45	47	50	52	55
growth	%	-29%	23%	8%	-19%	-3%	3%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%
CIS	Mt	118	127	131	134	134	138	144	150	153	157	161	164
growth	%	-12%	8%	3%	2%	0%	3%	5%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%
Total iron ore demand	Mt	1,482	1,691	1,812	1,860	1,964	2,019	2,092	2,143	2,171	2,201	2,215	2,220
growth	%	-4.36%	14.07%	7.20%	2.64%	5.56%	2.82%	3.62%	2.41%	1.33%	1.35%	0.64%	0.24%
- Implied scrap ratio	%	25%	26%	26%	25%	24%	24%	24%	24%	25%	25%	26%	27%
Disruption allowance	Mt						0	40	50	40	100	150	150
Notional market balance	Mt	2	22	8	3	43	80	106	150	220	277	284	288
China imported fines (62% CFR)	USD/t	79.8	146.6	167.0	123.8	130.0	104.0	96.0	90.0	88.0	95.0	97.0	97.0

Sources: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie, AME



138

Supply – demand balances: Coking Coal

|--|

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014e	2015e	2016e	2017e
Australian experts	N /#	13/	159	134	111	160	170	196	102	206
arouth	1VIL 0/	134	100/	154	00/	179/	6%	100	195	200
Growin Canadian exporte	70 N /4	-2%	10%	-10%	0%	17%	0%	4%	4%	770
		22	21	20	31	32	33	32	33	33
grown	<i>%</i> 0	-18%	23%	2%	11%	4%	3%	-3%	3%	0%
US exports	IVIT	33	48	59	59	60	45	40	40	40
grown	%	-1%	45%	24%	0%	1%	-24%	-11%	0%	0%
China exports	Mt	4	5	8	1	6	6	6	6	6
growth	%	-59%	39%	45%	-17%	-8%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other supply	Mt	43	63	59	74	72	83	88	89	92
Disruption allow ance			-20	-20	-15	-20	-20	-20	-15	-15
Global traded coking coal supply	Mt	236	281	268	300	318	326	332	346	362
growth	%	1%	19%	-5%	12%	6%	2%	2%	4%	5%
Japanese imports	Mt	66	77	69	72	71	75	75	76	76
arowth	%	9%	17%	-11%	4%	0%	5%	1%	0%	0%
Korea & Taiw an imports	Mt	25	.34	38	40	40	41	43	45	46
arowth	%	-2.3%	36%	1.3%	4%	0%	4%	4%	.3%	.3%
	Mt	46	52	53	53	54	54	54	53	53
arowth	%	-30%	14%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-1%	-1%
China imports	Mt	34	47	45	71	75	68	65	81	85
arowth	%	912%	37%	-5%	58%	7%	-10%	-5%	25%	4%
India imports	>0 N/H	31	34	34	37	43	46	49	53	58
arowth	%	17%	11%	-1%	8%	16%	7%	8%	8%	10%
Brazil imports	Mt	11	14	13	11	13	13	14	15	16
arowth	%	-32%	20%	-4%	-16%	19%	.3%	5%	6%	5%
Other imports / inventory adjustment	Mt	12	20	24	21	21	21	22	23	24
Global traded coking coal demand	Mt	221	274	271	295	310	311	316	339	350
arowth	%	-4%	24%	-1%	9%	5%	0%	2%	7%	.3%
	/0	170	21/0	170	070	0,0	070	270	1 770	0,0
Notional market balance	Mt	15	7	-3	5	9	15	16	7	12
	105"	400	405	000	040	450	400	405	455	4.00
Contract Hard Coking Coal	USD/t	129	195	289	210	159	130	135	155	160

Sources: Deutsche Bank, Wood Mackenzie, AME

Supply – demand balances Platinum



Platinum		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014F	2015F	2016F	2017F	2018F	2019F	2020F
South African supply	Koz	4,635	4,855	4,090	4,090	2,918	3,755	3,844	3,993	3,979	4,076	4,039
North American supply	Koz	200	350	310	340	328	310	310	295	300	300	300
Russian production	Koz	825	835	800	740	760	760	760	760	760	760	760
Zimbabwe	Koz	280	340	340	402	430	434	434	434	434	434	434
Other	Koz	110	100	110	200	205	195	200	205	210	215	220
Autocat recycling	Koz	1,085	1,240	1,130	1,240	1,398	1,526	1,652	1,784	1,934	1,897	2,007
Total supply	Koz	7,135	7,720	6,780	7,013	6,038	6,979	7,200	7,471	7,617	7,681	7,760
Supply growth	%	4.1	8.2	-12.2	3.4	-13.9	15.6	3.2	3.8	2.0	0.8	1.0
Total demand	Koz	7,160	7,270	7,130	7,690	7,465	7,537	7,709	7,888	7,683	7,682	7,911
Demand growth	%	15.2	1.5	-1.9	7.9	-2.9	1.0	2.3	2.3	-2.6	0.0	3.0
Autocatalyst	Koz	3,075	3,185	3,190	3,180	3,288	3,456	3,538	3,625	3,741	3,828	3,922
Chemical	Koz	440	470	450	510	525	540	539	544	548	554	559
Electrical	Koz	220	220	155	170	175	180	180	179	175	168	158
Glass	Koz	385	555	160	210	230	270	270	270	270	270	270
Investment	Koz	655	460	455	830	375	150	160	170	-170	-160	-150
Jewellery	Koz	1,685	1,665	1,890	2,010	2,070	2,108	2,158	2,198	2,194	2,090	2,212
Medical & Biomedical	Koz	230	230	235	240	247	253	260	266	273	280	287
Petroleum	Koz	170	210	205	150	175	180	185	196	192	193	194
Other	Koz	300	275	390	390	380	400	420	440	460	460	460
Market balance	Koz	-25	450	-350	-677	-1,426	-557	-509	-417	-67	-1	-151
Annual average price - rhs	US\$/oz	1612	1721	1553	1487	1466	1575	1650	1750	1850	2000	2000
Market balance excl. investme	nt demand	630	910	105	153	-1,051	-407	-349	-247	-237	-161	-301

Sources: Deutsche Bank, JMAT, SFA Oxford

Supply – demand balances Palladium



Palladium		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014F	2015F	2016F	2017F	2018F	2019F	2020F
South African supply	koz	2,640	2,480	2,157	2,257	1,639	2,101	2,224	2,220	2,357	2,350	2,396
North American supply	koz	590	900	895	928	976	969	951	944	938	931	924
Zimbabw e	koz	220	265	265	331	344	350	350	350	350	350	350
Russian production	koz	2,720	2,705	2,630	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650
Russian stockdraw	koz	1,000	775	260	250	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Russian sales	koz	3,720	3,480	2,890	2,900	2,750	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650
Other mine	koz	185	155	160	150	180	180	180	180	180	180	180
Secondary Supply		1,315	1,695	1,670	1,792	1,926	2,044	2,172	2,314	2,470	2,643	2,837
Total supply	koz	8,670	8,975	8,037	8,359	7,815	8,293	8,527	8,657	8,945	9,104	9,337
Supply growth	%	7.5	3.5	-10.4	4.0	-6.5	6.1	2.8	1.5	3.3	1.8	2.6
Total demand	koz	9,195	7,870	9,350	9,373	10,178	9,788	9,858	10,024	10,216	10,400	10,569
Demand growth	%	24.5	-14.4	18.8	0.2	8.6	-3.8	0.7	1.7	1.9	1.8	1.6
Autocatalyst	koz	5,580	6,155	6,705	7,098	7,528	7,916	8,110	8,399	8,701	8,988	9,264
Dental	koz	595	540	530	460	450	445	430	415	403	390	375
Electronics	koz	970	895	760	690	601	511	441	373	306	241	176
Chemical	koz	370	440	530	510	510	551	553	560	567	575	584
Jew ellery	koz	495	295	255	240	189	135	89	40	-3	-43	-82
Investment	koz	1,095	-565	470	275	800	130	128	126	124	122	120
Other	koz	90	110	100	100	100	101	106	111	117	127	133
Market balance	koz	-525	1,105	-1,313	-1,014	-2,363	-1,495	-1,331	-1,367	-1,271	-1,295	-1,232
Annual average price	US\$/oz	525	733	644	726	794	853	950	1,000	1,100	1,000	1,000
Market balance without in	koz	570	540	-843	-739	-1,563	-1,365	-1,203	-1,241	-1,147	-1,173	-1,111

Sources: Deutsche Bank, JMAT, SFA Oxford

Supply – demand balances Rhodium



Rhodium		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014F	2015F	2016F	2017F	2018F	2019F	2020F
Total supply	Koz	975	1,043	974	986	867	1,017	1,053	1,091	1,114	1,152	1,176
Supply growth	%	1.9	7.0	-6.6	1.3	-12.0	17.2	3.5	3.7	2.1	3.4	2.1
South African supply	koz	632	641	576	569	421	542	553	566	564	576	574
North American supply	koz	10	23	23	24	24	26	26	26	26	26	26
Zimbabwe	koz	19	29	30	31	35	37	37	37	37	37	37
Other	koz	3	3	3	10	11	11	12	12	13	13	14
Russian sales	koz	70	70	90	80	80	79	78	78	78	78	78
Secondary	koz	241	277	252	272	297	322	347	372	397	422	447
Total demand	Koz	887	908	966	1,036	1,093	1,150	1,160	1,214	1,283	1,301	1,325
Demand growth	%	23.9	2.4	6.4	7.2	5.5	5.3	0.8	4.7	5.7	1.4	1.8
Autocat	koz	727	715	782	819	843	911	919	971	1026	1034	1042
Chemical	koz	67	72	81	79	85	91	98	105	112	120	128
Electrical	koz	4	5	6	7	7	5	5	4	4	0	0
Glass	koz	68	78	31	40	65	70	74	80	85	91	98
Investment	koz	0	0	36	60	60	40	30	20	20	20	20
Other	koz	21	38	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
Market balance	Koz	88	135	8	-50	-225	-134	-107	-123	-169	-149	-149
Annual average price	US\$/oz	2442	1990	1274	1,067	1,103	1,325	1,400	1,700	1,900	4,000	4,000

Sources: Deutsche Bank, JMAT, SFA Oxford

Gold supply demand model



Deutsche Bank	Global	Gold S	upplv/De	emand N	lodel				
		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014e	2015e	2016e
Mine Production	tonnes	2,575	2,741	2,839	2,864	3,019	3,025	3,050	3050
growth		6.6%	6.4%	3.6%	0.9%	5.4%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Producer Hedging	tonnes	-257	-106	11	-40	-50	20	180	200
Official Sector Sales	tonnes	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Secondary Supply, Scrap	tonnes	1,695	1,711	1,649	1,591	1,371	1,248	1,190	1,184
growth		28.8%	0.9%	-3.6%	-3.5%	-13.8%	-9.0%	-4.7%	-0.5%
Total Supply	tonnes	4,047	4,346	4,499	4,415	4,340	4,293	4,420	4,434
.lew ellerv	tonnes	1 814	2 020	1 975	1 896	2 210	2 280	2,350	2 430
	torinoo	-21.3%	11 4%	-2.2%	-4 0%	16.5%	3.2%	3.1%	3.4%
Industrial, other	tonnes	410	465	452	407	405	420	420	420
····, ···		-11.1%	13.4%	-2.8%	-10.0%	-0.5%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total fabrication demand	tonnes	2,224	2,485	2,427	2,303	2,614	2,700	2,770	2,850
		-19.6%	11.7%	-2.3%	-5.1%	13.5%	3.3%	2.6%	2.9%
Bar & coin investment	t	791	1,218	1,519	1,289	1,654	1,246	1,163	1,155
ETF and similar	t	652	343	172	275	-869	-150	-50	0
Total investment demand	tonnes	1,443	1,561	1,691	1,564	785	1,096	1,113	1,155
growth		21.3%	8.2%	8.3%	-7.5%	-49.8%	39.6%	1.6%	3.7%
Official Sector Purchase		0	77	457	544	369	450	450	450
OTC investment & stock flov	VS	380	223	-76	4	572	48	87	-20
Total Demand	tonnes	4,047	4,346	4,499	4,415	4,340	4,293	4,420	4,434
Gold bullion price	USD/oz	974	1.225	1.576	1.669	1.413	1.260	1.160	1.150

Source: Deutsche Bank, WGC

Price forecasts



Bulk Commodities Price Forecasts												
USD	Q2 14	Q3 14	Q4 14	2014	Q1 15	Q2 15	Q3 15	Q4 15	2015	2016	2017	2018
Iron Ore Spot Landed Fines Price in China CIF (t)	102.66	96.00	99.00	104.52	99.00	95.00	92.00	97.00	95.75	90.00	88.00	95.00
% Chg from previous forecast		0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-12.0%	0.0%
Hard Coking Coal JFY (t)	120.00	125.00	130.00	129.50	135.00	130.00	130.00	145.00	135.00	155.00	160.00	170.00
% Chg from previous forecast		-3.8%	-10.3%	-3.7%	-10.0%	-10.3%	-10.3%	-9.4%	-10.0%	-6.1%	-5.9%	-2.9%
Low-volatile PCI JFY (t)	95.00	100.00	105.00	104.50	110.00	105.00	105.00	120.00	110.00	125.00	130.00	140.00
%Chg from previous forecast		-4.8%	-12.5%	-4.6%	-12.0%	-12.5%	-12.5%	- 11.1%	-12.0%	-7.4%	-7.1%	-3.4%

Precious Metals Price Forecasts												
USD/oz	Q2 14	Q3 14	Q4 14	2014	Q1 15	Q2 15	Q3 15	Q4 15	2015	2016	2017	2018
Gold	1290	1250	1200	1258	1175	1175	1150	1150	1163	1150	1125	1200
%Chg from previous forecast		0.0%	0.0%	-0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Silver	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	19	19	20
%Chg from previous forecast		0.0%	5.3%	0.2%	5.3%	5.3%	3.7%	3.7%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Platinum	1448	1460	1530	1467	1540	1560	1580	1620	1575	1650	1750	1850
% Chg from previous forecast		-1.4%	0.7%	-1.5%	-0.6%	-2.5%	-1.3%	-1.8%	-1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Palladium	816	790	820	793	835	815	860	900	853	950	1000	1100
%Chg from previous forecast		3.9%	6.5%	3.8%	5.0%	1.2%	2.4%	5.3%	3.5%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Rhodium	1109	1080	1150	1102	1200	1300	1300	1500	1325	1400	1700	1900
%Chg from previous forecast		8.0%	9.5%	4.9%	9.1%	8.3%	0.0%	7.1%	6.0%	0.0%	6.3%	5.6%
Source: Deutsche Bank, Figuresare period average												
Price forecasts



Industrial Metals Price Forecasts												
Cash price	Q2 14	Q3 14	Q4 14	2014	Q 1 15	Q2 15	Q3 15	Q4 15	2015	2016	2017	2018
Aluminium												
USc/lb USD/t % Chg from previous forecast	83.4 1838	82.6 1820 1.1%	83.9 1850 <i>0.0%</i>	82.4 1816 <i>1.5%</i>	83.9 1850 <i>0.0%</i>	85.1 1875 - <i>1.</i> 3%	86.2 1900 <i>0.0%</i>	88.5 1950 <i>0.0%</i>	85.9 1894 -0.3%	99.8 2200 0.0%	108.9 2400 <i>0.0%</i>	118.0 2600 <i>0.0%</i>
Copper												
USc/lb USD/t % Chg from previous forecast	306.9 6764	307.2 6770 -0.4%	308.5 6800 1.5%	310.1 6834 0.8%	301.7 6650 <i>0.0%</i>	306.3 6750 <i>0.0%</i>	301.7 6650 <i>0.0%</i>	297.2 6550 0.0%	301.7 6650 <i>0.0%</i>	294.9 6500 <i>0.0%</i>	326.7 7200 0.0%	340.3 7500 0.0%
Lead												
USc/lb USD/t % Chg from previous forecast	96.2 2121	95.3 2100 <i>0.0%</i>	97.5 2150 -4.4%	96.4 2124 0.2%	102.1 2250 -2.2%	103.2 2275 - <i>1.1</i> %	102.1 2250 0.0%	106.6 2350 0.0%	103.5 2281 -0.8%	105.5 2325 0.0%	106.6 2350 0.0%	107.8 2375 0.0%
Nickel												
USc/lb USD/t % Chg from previous forecast	840.2 18519	834.8 18400 8.2 <i>%</i>	870.0 19175 0.9%	803.0 17699 3.8%	952.8 21000 <i>0.0%</i>	934.7 20600 5.6%	960.8 21175 5.9%	998.2 22000 <i>0.0%</i>	961.6 21194 2.8%	1088.9 24000 <i>0.0%</i>	1225.0 27000 <i>0.0%</i>	1145.6 25250 0.0%
Tin												
USc/lb USD/t %Chg from previous forecast	1048.2 23103	1060.3 23370 <i>1.</i> 6%	1066.2 23500 <i>0.0%</i>	1050.0 23143 <i>0.5%</i>	1060.6 23375 <i>0.3%</i>	1087.7 23972 2.9%	1098.5 24212 3.9%	1109.5 24454 5.0%	1089.1 24003 3.0%	1115.0 24575 12.1%	1137.3 25067 22.0%	870.0 19175 0.0%
Zinc												
USc/lb USD/t % Cha from previous forecast	94.3 2079	94.1 2075 12%	96.6 2130 1 <i>4%</i>	94.2 2077 16%	100.7 2220 0.0%	103.9 2290 0.0%	107.5 2370 0.0%	108.9 2400 0.0%	105.3 2320 0.0%	108.4 2390 0.0%	111.6 2460 0.0%	114.8 2530 0.0%
Source: Deutsche Bank, Figuresare period avera	iges	L£ /U	1.770	1.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070

Appendix 1 Important Disclosures Additional Information Available upon Request



Analyst Certification

The views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal views of the undersigned lead analysts about the subject issuers and the securities of those issuers. In addition, the undersigned lead analyst has not and will not receive any compensation for providing a specific recommendation or view in this report. Grant Sporre

For disclosures pertaining to recommendations or estimates made on securities other than the primary subject of this research, please see the most recently published company report or visit our global disclosure look-up page on our website at <a href="http://gm.db.com/ger/disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Disclosure/Di

Regulatory Disclosures

1. Important Additional Conflict Disclosures

Aside from within this report, important conflict disclosures can also be found at https://gm.db.com/equities under the "Disclosures Lookup" and "Legal" tabs. Investors are strongly encouraged to review this information before investing.

2. Short-Term Trade Ideas

Deutsche Bank equity research analysts sometimes have shorter-term trade ideas (known as SOLAR ideas) that are consistent or inconsistent with Deutsche Bank's existing longer term ratings. These trade ideas can be found at the SOLAR link at http://gm.db.com.

3. Country-Specific Disclosures

Australia & New Zealand: This research, and any access to it, is intended only for "wholesale clients" within the meaning of the Australian Corporations Act and New Zealand Financial Advisors Act respectively.

Brazil: The views expressed above accurately reflect personal views of the authors about the subject company(ies) and its(their) securities, including in relation to Deutsche Bank. The compensation of the equity research analyst(s) is indirectly affected by revenues deriving from the business and financial transactions of Deutsche Bank. In cases where at least one Brazil based analyst (identified by a phone number starting with +55 country code) has taken part in the preparation of this research report, the Brazil based analyst whose name appears first assumes primary responsibility for its content from a Brazilian regulatory perspective and for its compliance with CVM Instruction # 483.

EU countries: Disclosures relating to our obligations under MiFiD can be found at http://www.globalmarkets.db.com/riskdisclosures.

Japan: Disclosures under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law: Company name - Deutsche Securities Inc. Registration number - Registered as a financial instruments dealer by the Head of the Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Kinsho) No. 117. Member of associations: JSDA, Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association, The Financial Futures Association of Japan, Japan Securities Investment Advisers Association. This report is not meant to solicit the purchase of specific financial instruments or related services. We may charge commissions and fees for certain categories of investment advice, products and services. Recommended investment strategies, products and services carry the risk of losses to principal and other losses as a result of changes in market and/or economic trends, and/or fluctuations in market value. Before deciding on the purchase of financial products and/or services, customers should carefully read the relevant disclosures, prospectuses and other documentation. "Moody's", "Standard & Poor's", and "Fitch" mentioned in this report are not registered credit rating agencies in Japan unless "Japan" is specifically designated in the name of the entity.

Qatar: Deutsche Bank AG in the Qatar Financial Centre (registered no. 00032) is regulated by the Qatar Financial Centre Regulatory Authority. Deutsche Bank AG - QFC Branch may only undertake the financial services activities that fall within the scope of its existing QFCRA license. Principal place of business in the QFC: Qatar Financial Centre, Tower, West Bay, Level 5, PO Box 14928, Doha, Qatar. This information has been distributed by Deutsche Bank AG. Related financial products or services are only available to Business Customers, as defined by the Qatar Financial Centre Regulatory Authority.

Russia: This information, interpretation and opinions submitted herein are not in the context of, and do not constitute, any appraisal or evaluation activity requiring a license in the Russian Federation.

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Deutsche Securities Saudi Arabia LLC Company, (registered no. 07073-37) is regulated by the Capital Market Authority. Deutsche Securities Saudi Arabia may only undertake the financial services activities that fall within the scope of its existing CMA license. Principal place of business in Saudi Arabia: King Fahad Road, Al Olaya District, P.O. Box 301809, Faisaliah Tower - 17th Floor, 11372 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

United Arab Emirates: Deutsche Bank AG in the Dubai International Financial Centre (registered no. 00045) is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority. Deutsche Bank AG - DIFC Branch may only undertake the financial services activities that fall within the scope of its existing DFSA license. Principal place of business in the DIFC: Dubai International Financial Centre, The Gate Village, Building 5, PO Box 504902, Dubai, U.A.E. This information has been distributed by Deutsche Bank AG. Related financial products or services are only available to Professional Clients, as defined by the Dubai Financial Services Authority.

Grant Sporre (grant.sporre@db.com) Michael Hsueh (michael.hsueh@db.com)





Global Disclaimer

The information and opinions in this report were prepared by Deutsche Bank AG or one of its affiliates (collectively "Deutsche Bank"). The information herein is believed to be reliable and has been obtained from public sources believed to be reliable. Deutsche Bank makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of such information.

Deutsche Bank may engage in securities transactions, on a proprietary basis or otherwise, in a manner **inconsistent** with the view taken in this research report. In addition, others within Deutsche Bank, including strategists and sales staff, may take a view that is **inconsistent** with that taken in this research report.

Opinions, estimates and projections in this report constitute the current judgement of the author as of the date of this report. They do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Deutsche Bank and are subject to change without notice. Deutsche Bank has no obligation to update, modify or amend this report or to otherwise notify a recipient thereof in the event that any opinion, forecast or estimate set forth herein, changes or subsequently becomes inaccurate. Prices and availability of financial instruments are subject to change without notice. This report and availability of strategy. Target prices are inherently imprecise and a product of the analyst judgement.

The financial instruments discussed in this report may not be suitable for all investors and investors must make their own informed investment decisions. Stock transactions can lead to losses as a result of price fluctuations and other factors. If a financial instrument is denominated in a currency other than an investor's currency, a change in exchange rates may adversely affect the investment. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results. Deutsche Bank may with respect to securities covered by this report, sell to or buy from customers on a principal basis, and consider this report in deciding to trade on a proprietary basis.

Derivative transactions involve numerous risks including, among others, market, counterparty default and illiquidity risk. The appropriateness or otherwise of these products for use by investors is dependent on the investors' own circumstances including their tax position, their regulatory environment and the nature of their other assets and liabilities and as such investors should take expert legal and financial advice before entering into any transaction similar to or inspired by the contents of this publication. Trading in options involves risk and is not suitable for all investors. Prior to buying or selling an option investors must review the "Characteristics and Risks of Standardized Options," at http://www.theocc.com/components/docs/risktor.pdf If you are unable to access the website please contact Deutsche Bank AG at +1 (212) 250-7994, for a copy of this important document.

The risk of loss in futures trading and options, foreign or domestic, can be substantial. As a result of the high degree of leverage obtainable in futures and options trading, losses may be incurred that are greater than the amount of funds initially deposited.

Unless governing law provides otherwise, all transactions should be executed through the Deutsche Bank entity in the investor's home jurisdiction. In the U.S. this report is approved and/or distributed by Deutsche Bank AG Frankfurt authorized by the BaFin. In the United Kingdom this report is approved and/or communicated by Deutsche Bank AG Frankfurt authorized by the BaFin. In the United Kingdom this report is approved and/or communicated by Deutsche Bank AG Frankfurt authorized by the BaFin. In the United Kingdom this report is distributed in Hong Kong by Deutsche Bank AG, Hong Kong Branch, in Korea by Deutsche Securities Korea Co. This report is distributed in Singapore by Deutsche Bank AG, Singapore Branch or Deutsche Securities Asia Limited, Singapore Branch (One Raffles Quay #18-00 South Tower Singapore 048583, +65 6423 8001), and recipients in Singapore of this report are to contact Deutsche Bank AG, Singapore Branch or Deutsche Securities Asia Limited, Singapore Isanch in respect of any matters arising from, or in connection with, this report. Where this report is assued or promulgated in Singapore to a person who is not an accredited investor, expert investor or institutional investor (as defined in the applicable Singapore laws and regulations). Deutsche Bank AG, Singapore Banch or Deutsche Securities Asia Limited, Singapore and regulated by Deutsche Securities Inte., In Agan this report is approved and/or distributed by Deutsche Securities Intervention contained in this report does not constitute the provision of investment advice. In Australia, retail clients should obtain a copy of a Product Disclosure Statement (PDS) relating to any financial product referred to in this report and consider the PDS before making any decision about whether to acquire the product. Deutsche Bank AG Johannesburg is incorporated in the Federal Republic of Germany (Branch Register Number in South Africa: 1998/003298/10). Additional information relative to securities, other financial products or issuers discussed in this

Copyright © 2014 Deutsche Bank AG

Grant Sporre (grant.sporre@db.com) Michael Hsueh (michael.hsueh@db.com)